# Ring-theoretic (in)finiteness in reduced products of Banach algebras

Bence Horváth (joint work with Matthew Daws, UCLan)

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences horvath@math.cas.cz

April 27, 2020



# Definition

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra.

# **Definition**

Let  ${\mathcal A}$  be an algebra. Then we say that

•  $p \in \mathcal{A}$  is an idempotent if  $p^2 = p$ ;

#### Definition

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra. Then we say that

- $p \in \mathcal{A}$  is an idempotent if  $p^2 = p$ ;
- two idempotents  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$  are equivalent, and denote it as  $p \sim q$ , if  $\exists a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  such that p = ab and q = ba;

#### Definition

Let  ${\mathcal A}$  be an algebra. Then we say that

- $p \in \mathcal{A}$  is an idempotent if  $p^2 = p$ ;
- two idempotents  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$  are equivalent, and denote it as  $p \sim q$ , if  $\exists a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  such that p = ab and q = ba;
- two idempotents  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$  are *orthogonal*, and denote it as  $p \perp q$ , if pq = 0 = qp.

#### Definition

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra. Then we say that

- $p \in \mathcal{A}$  is an idempotent if  $p^2 = p$ ;
- two idempotents  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$  are equivalent, and denote it as  $p \sim q$ , if  $\exists a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  such that p = ab and q = ba;
- two idempotents  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$  are *orthogonal*, and denote it as  $p \perp q$ , if pq = 0 = qp.

Easy to see:  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on the set of idempotents of  $\mathcal{A}$ .



# Definition Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital algebra with multiplicative identity 1.

Let  ${\mathcal A}$  be a unital algebra with multiplicative identity 1. We say that  ${\mathcal A}$  is

**①** *Dedekind-finite* or *DF*, if  $(\forall p \in A)$  idempotent:  $(p \sim 1) \implies (p = 1)$ ;

Let  ${\mathcal A}$  be a unital algebra with multiplicative identity 1. We say that  ${\mathcal A}$  is

- Dedekind-finite or DF, if  $(\forall p \in A)$  idempotent:  $(p \sim 1) \implies (p = 1)$ ;
- 2 Dedekind-infinite or DI, if it is not DF

Let  ${\mathcal A}$  be a unital algebra with multiplicative identity 1. We say that  ${\mathcal A}$  is

- Dedekind-finite or DF, if  $(\forall p \in A)$  idempotent:  $(p \sim 1) \implies (p = 1)$ ;
- **2** Dedekind-infinite or DI, if it is not DF ( $\iff$  ( $\exists p \in \mathcal{A}$ ) idempotent:  $(p \sim 1) \land (p \neq 1)$ );

Let  ${\mathcal A}$  be a unital algebra with multiplicative identity 1. We say that  ${\mathcal A}$  is

- Dedekind-finite or DF, if  $(\forall p \in A)$  idempotent:  $(p \sim 1) \implies (p = 1)$ ;
- ② *Dedekind-infinite* or *DI*, if it is not DF ( $\iff$  ( $\exists p \in A$ ) idempotent:  $(p \sim 1) \land (p \neq 1)$ );
- **3** properly infinite or PI, if  $(\exists p, q \in A)$  idempotents:  $(p \sim 1 \sim q) \land (p \perp q)$ .

Let  ${\mathcal A}$  be a unital algebra with multiplicative identity 1. We say that  ${\mathcal A}$  is

- **①** *Dedekind-finite* or *DF*, if  $(\forall p \in \mathcal{A})$  idempotent:  $(p \sim 1) \implies (p = 1)$ ;
- **2** Dedekind-infinite or DI, if it is not DF ( $\iff$  ( $\exists p \in \mathcal{A}$ ) idempotent:  $(p \sim 1) \land (p \neq 1)$ );
- **3** properly infinite or PI, if  $(\exists p, q \in A)$  idempotents:  $(p \sim 1 \sim q) \land (p \perp q)$ .

Let  ${\mathcal A}$  be a unital algebra with multiplicative identity 1. We say that  ${\mathcal A}$  is

- **①** Dedekind-finite or DF, if  $(\forall p \in A)$  idempotent:  $(p \sim 1) \implies (p = 1)$ ;
- **2** Dedekind-infinite or DI, if it is not DF ( $\iff$  ( $\exists p \in \mathcal{A}$ ) idempotent:  $(p \sim 1) \land (p \neq 1)$ );
- **3** properly infinite or PI, if  $(\exists p, q \in A)$  idempotents:  $(p \sim 1 \sim q) \land (p \perp q)$ .

## Some elementary observations:

•  $\mathcal{A}$  is DF  $\iff$   $(\forall a, b \in \mathcal{A})((ab = 1) \implies (ba = 1));$ 



Let  ${\mathcal A}$  be a unital algebra with multiplicative identity 1. We say that  ${\mathcal A}$  is

- Dedekind-finite or DF, if  $(\forall p \in A)$  idempotent:  $(p \sim 1) \implies (p = 1)$ ;
- **2** Dedekind-infinite or DI, if it is not DF ( $\iff$  ( $\exists p \in \mathcal{A}$ ) idempotent:  $(p \sim 1) \land (p \neq 1)$ );
- **3** properly infinite or PI, if  $(\exists p, q \in A)$  idempotents:  $(p \sim 1 \sim q) \land (p \perp q)$ .

- $\mathcal{A}$  is DF  $\iff$   $(\forall a, b \in \mathcal{A})((ab = 1) \implies (ba = 1));$
- $\mathcal{A}$  is DI  $\iff$   $(\exists a, b \in \mathcal{A})((ab = 1) \land (ba \neq 1));$



Let  ${\mathcal A}$  be a unital algebra with multiplicative identity 1. We say that  ${\mathcal A}$  is

- Dedekind-finite or DF, if  $(\forall p \in A)$  idempotent:  $(p \sim 1) \implies (p = 1)$ ;
- **2** Dedekind-infinite or DI, if it is not DF ( $\iff$  ( $\exists p \in \mathcal{A}$ ) idempotent:  $(p \sim 1) \land (p \neq 1)$ );
- **3** properly infinite or PI, if  $(\exists p, q \in A)$  idempotents:  $(p \sim 1 \sim q) \land (p \perp q)$ .

- $\mathcal{A}$  is DF  $\iff$   $(\forall a, b \in \mathcal{A})((ab = 1) \implies (ba = 1));$
- $\mathcal{A}$  is DI  $\iff$   $(\exists a, b \in \mathcal{A})((ab = 1) \land (ba \neq 1));$
- a commutative unital algebra is DF;

Let  ${\mathcal A}$  be a unital algebra with multiplicative identity 1. We say that  ${\mathcal A}$  is

- Dedekind-finite or DF, if  $(\forall p \in A)$  idempotent:  $(p \sim 1) \implies (p = 1)$ ;
- ② *Dedekind-infinite* or *DI*, if it is not DF ( $\iff$  ( $\exists p \in A$ ) idempotent:  $(p \sim 1) \land (p \neq 1)$ );
- **3** properly infinite or PI, if  $(\exists p, q \in A)$  idempotents:  $(p \sim 1 \sim q) \land (p \perp q)$ .

- $\mathcal{A}$  is DF  $\iff$   $(\forall a, b \in \mathcal{A})((ab = 1) \implies (ba = 1));$
- $\mathcal{A}$  is DI  $\iff$   $(\exists a, b \in \mathcal{A})((ab = 1) \land (ba \neq 1));$
- a commutative unital algebra is DF;
- $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are unital algebras,  $\mathcal{A}$  is PI and  $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  is a unital algebra hom  $\implies \mathcal{B}$  is PI.

For a unital algebra A:

$$\mathcal{A}$$
 is PI  $\Longrightarrow$   $\mathcal{A}$  is DI.

For a unital algebra A:

$$\mathcal{A}$$
 is  $PI \Longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is  $DI$ .

A related notion, only for unital Banach algebras:

For a unital algebra A:

$$\mathcal{A}$$
 is  $PI \Longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is  $DI$ .

A related notion, only for unital Banach algebras:

#### Definition

A unital Banach algebra has *stable rank one*, if the group of invertible elements inv(A) is norm dense in A.

For a unital algebra A:

$$\mathcal{A}$$
 is PI  $\Longrightarrow$   $\mathcal{A}$  is DI.

A related notion, only for unital Banach algebras:

#### Definition

A unital Banach algebra has *stable rank one*, if the group of invertible elements inv(A) is norm dense in A.

The following is a simple exercise using Carl Neumann series:



For a unital algebra A:

$$\mathcal{A}$$
 is PI  $\Longrightarrow$   $\mathcal{A}$  is DI.

A related notion, only for unital Banach algebras:

#### Definition

A unital Banach algebra has *stable rank one*, if the group of invertible elements inv(A) is norm dense in A.

The following is a simple exercise using Carl Neumann series:

# Lemma (Rieffel, PLMS, '83 ?)

For a unital Banach algebra A:

 $\mathcal{A}$  has stable rank one  $\implies \mathcal{A}$  is DF.



## Example

# Example

All the following Banach algebras have stable rank one:

• C(K), where K is cpt Hdff with covering dimension 0 or 1 (e.g. K = [0,1]) [Rieffel];

# Example

- C(K), where K is cpt Hdff with covering dimension 0 or 1 (e.g. K = [0,1]) [Rieffel];
- $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$  [Dykema–Haagerup–Rørdam];

## Example

- C(K), where K is cpt Hdff with covering dimension 0 or 1 (e.g. K = [0, 1]) [Rieffel];
- $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$  [Dykema–Haagerup–Rørdam];
- $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ , where  $\Gamma$  (endowed with the discrete top) is hyperbolic, torsion-free and non-elementary [Dykema–de la Harpe];

## Example

- C(K), where K is cpt Hdff with covering dimension 0 or 1 (e.g. K = [0, 1]) [Rieffel];
- $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$  [Dykema–Haagerup–Rørdam];
- $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ , where  $\Gamma$  (endowed with the discrete top) is hyperbolic, torsion-free and non-elementary [Dykema–de la Harpe];
- $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  endowed with the convolution product [Dawson–Feinstein];

## Example

- C(K), where K is cpt Hdff with covering dimension 0 or 1 (e.g. K = [0, 1]) [Rieffel];
- $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$  [Dykema–Haagerup–Rørdam];
- $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ , where  $\Gamma$  (endowed with the discrete top) is hyperbolic, torsion-free and non-elementary [Dykema–de la Harpe];
- $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  endowed with the convolution product [Dawson–Feinstein];
- $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  (for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ );

## Example

- C(K), where K is cpt Hdff with covering dimension 0 or 1 (e.g. K = [0, 1]) [Rieffel];
- $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$  [Dykema–Haagerup–Rørdam];
- $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ , where  $\Gamma$  (endowed with the discrete top) is hyperbolic, torsion-free and non-elementary [Dykema—de la Harpe];
- $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  endowed with the convolution product [Dawson–Feinstein];
- $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  (for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ );
- the CAR-algebra  $M_{2^{\infty}}(\mathbb{C}) := \varinjlim M_{2^n}(\mathbb{C})$  [Rieffel];

#### Example

- C(K), where K is cpt Hdff with covering dimension 0 or 1 (e.g. K = [0, 1]) [Rieffel];
- $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$  [Dykema–Haagerup–Rørdam];
- $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ , where  $\Gamma$  (endowed with the discrete top) is hyperbolic, torsion-free and non-elementary [Dykema—de la Harpe];
- $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  endowed with the convolution product [Dawson–Feinstein];
- $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  (for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ );
- the CAR-algebra  $M_{2^{\infty}}(\mathbb{C}) := \varinjlim M_{2^n}(\mathbb{C})$  [Rieffel];
- $\mathcal{B}(X)$ , where X is a hereditarily indecomposable Banach space [folklore, H.].

The following Banach algebras are DF but do not have stable rank one:

The following Banach algebras are DF but do not have stable rank one:

• C(K), where K is cpt Hdff with covering dimension  $\geq 2$  (e.g.  $K = \mathbb{D}$ ) [Rieffel];

The following Banach algebras are DF but do not have stable rank one:

- C(K), where K is cpt Hdff with covering dimension  $\geq 2$  (e.g.  $K = \mathbb{D}$ ) [Rieffel];
- $\ell^1(S)$ , where S is a commutative, cancellative monoid which is not a group (e.g.  $S = \mathbb{N}_0$ ) [Draga-Kania];

The following Banach algebras are DF but do not have stable rank one:

- C(K), where K is cpt Hdff with covering dimension  $\geq 2$  (e.g.  $K = \mathbb{D}$ ) [Rieffel];
- $\ell^1(S)$ , where S is a commutative, cancellative monoid which is not a group (e.g.  $S = \mathbb{N}_0$ ) [Draga–Kania];
- $\mathcal{B}(X_T)$ , where  $X_T$  is the indecomposable but not hereditarily indecomposable Banach space constructed by Tarbard [H.].

The following Banach algebras are DF but do not have stable rank one:

- C(K), where K is cpt Hdff with covering dimension  $\geq 2$  (e.g.  $K = \mathbb{D}$ ) [Rieffel];
- $\ell^1(S)$ , where S is a commutative, cancellative monoid which is not a group (e.g.  $S = \mathbb{N}_0$ ) [Draga-Kania];
- $\mathcal{B}(X_T)$ , where  $X_T$  is the indecomposable but not hereditarily indecomposable Banach space constructed by Tarbard [H.].

## Example

The following Banach algebras are DI but not properly infinite:

The following Banach algebras are DF but do not have stable rank one:

- C(K), where K is cpt Hdff with covering dimension  $\geq 2$  (e.g.  $K = \mathbb{D}$ ) [Rieffel];
- $\ell^1(S)$ , where S is a commutative, cancellative monoid which is not a group (e.g.  $S = \mathbb{N}_0$ ) [Draga-Kania];
- $\mathcal{B}(X_T)$ , where  $X_T$  is the indecomposable but not hereditarily indecomposable Banach space constructed by Tarbard [H.].

#### Example

The following Banach algebras are DI but not properly infinite:

•  $\ell^1(BC)$ , where BC is the bicyclic monoid [folk];

The following Banach algebras are DF but do not have stable rank one:

- C(K), where K is cpt Hdff with covering dimension  $\geq 2$  (e.g.  $K = \mathbb{D}$ ) [Rieffel];
- $\ell^1(S)$ , where S is a commutative, cancellative monoid which is not a group (e.g.  $S = \mathbb{N}_0$ ) [Draga-Kania];
- $\mathcal{B}(X_T)$ , where  $X_T$  is the indecomposable but not hereditarily indecomposable Banach space constructed by Tarbard [H.].

#### Example

The following Banach algebras are DI but not properly infinite:

- $\ell^1(BC)$ , where BC is the bicyclic monoid [folk];
- $L^1(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^*)$  [Y. Choi];

The following Banach algebras are DF but do not have stable rank one:

- C(K), where K is cpt Hdff with covering dimension  $\geq 2$  (e.g.  $K = \mathbb{D}$ ) [Rieffel];
- $\ell^1(S)$ , where S is a commutative, cancellative monoid which is not a group (e.g.  $S = \mathbb{N}_0$ ) [Draga–Kania];
- $\mathcal{B}(X_T)$ , where  $X_T$  is the indecomposable but not hereditarily indecomposable Banach space constructed by Tarbard [H.].

#### Example

The following Banach algebras are DI but not properly infinite:

- $\ell^1(BC)$ , where BC is the bicyclic monoid [folk];
- $L^1(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^*)$  [Y. Choi];
- $\mathcal{B}(X)$ , where X is the  $p^{th}$  James space  $\mathcal{J}_p$  or  $C[0,\omega_1]$ ,

The following Banach algebras are DF but do not have stable rank one:

- C(K), where K is cpt Hdff with covering dimension  $\geq 2$  (e.g.  $K = \mathbb{D}$ ) [Rieffel];
- $\ell^1(S)$ , where S is a commutative, cancellative monoid which is not a group (e.g.  $S = \mathbb{N}_0$ ) [Draga–Kania];
- $\mathcal{B}(X_T)$ , where  $X_T$  is the indecomposable but not hereditarily indecomposable Banach space constructed by Tarbard [H.].

#### Example

The following Banach algebras are DI but not properly infinite:

- $\ell^1(BC)$ , where BC is the bicyclic monoid [folk];
- $L^1(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^*)$  [Y. Choi];
- $\mathcal{B}(X)$ , where X is the  $p^{th}$  James space  $\mathcal{J}_p$  or  $C[0, \omega_1]$ , or Figiel's space  $\mathcal{F}$  [Laustsen].

The following Banach algebras are properly infinite:

The following Banach algebras are properly infinite:

•  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ , where X is a Banach space such that it contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to  $X \oplus X$  (e.g.  $X = \ell_p$ , where  $1 \le p \le \infty$ ) [Laustsen];

The following Banach algebras are properly infinite:

- $\mathcal{B}(X)$ , where X is a Banach space such that it contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to  $X \oplus X$  (e.g.  $X = \ell_p$ , where  $1 \le p \le \infty$ ) [Laustsen];
- " $\ell^1(Cu_2 \setminus \{\lozenge\})$ ", where  $Cu_2$  is the second Cuntz semigroup with a zero element  $\lozenge$  [folk].

#### Definition (The $C^*$ -versions)

Let A be a  $C^*$ -algebra.

#### Definition (The $C^*$ -versions)

Let A be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then we say that:

**1**  $p \in \mathcal{A}$  is a projection if  $p^2 = p$  and  $p^* = p$ ;

#### Definition (The $C^*$ -versions)

Let A be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then we say that:

- **1**  $p \in \mathcal{A}$  is a projection if  $p^2 = p$  and  $p^* = p$ ;
- 2 two projections  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$  are Murray-von Neumann equivalent, and denote it as  $p \approx q$ , if  $\exists v \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $p = v^*v$  and  $q = vv^*$ ;

#### Definition (The $C^*$ -versions)

Let A be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then we say that:

- ①  $p \in \mathcal{A}$  is a projection if  $p^2 = p$  and  $p^* = p$ ;
- ② two projections  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$  are Murray-von Neumann equivalent, and denote it as  $p \approx q$ , if  $\exists v \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $p = v^*v$  and  $q = vv^*$ ;
- **3** two projections  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$  are *orthogonal*, and denote it as  $p \perp q$ , if pq = 0.

#### Definition (The $C^*$ -versions)

Let A be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then we say that:

- **1**  $p \in \mathcal{A}$  is a projection if  $p^2 = p$  and  $p^* = p$ ;
- ② two projections  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$  are Murray-von Neumann equivalent, and denote it as  $p \approx q$ , if  $\exists v \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $p = v^*v$  and  $q = vv^*$ ;
- **3** two projections  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$  are *orthogonal*, and denote it as  $p \perp q$ , if pq = 0.

Easy to see:

#### Definition (The $C^*$ -versions)

Let A be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then we say that:

- **1**  $p \in \mathcal{A}$  is a projection if  $p^2 = p$  and  $p^* = p$ ;
- ② two projections  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$  are Murray-von Neumann equivalent, and denote it as  $p \approx q$ , if  $\exists v \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $p = v^*v$  and  $q = vv^*$ ;
- **3** two projections  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$  are *orthogonal*, and denote it as  $p \perp q$ , if pq = 0.

#### Easy to see:

a) pprox is an equivalence relation on the set of projections of  $\mathcal{A}$ ;

#### Definition (The $C^*$ -versions)

Let A be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then we say that:

- ①  $p \in \mathcal{A}$  is a projection if  $p^2 = p$  and  $p^* = p$ ;
- ② two projections  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$  are Murray-von Neumann equivalent, and denote it as  $p \approx q$ , if  $\exists v \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $p = v^*v$  and  $q = vv^*$ ;
- **3** two projections  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$  are *orthogonal*, and denote it as  $p \perp q$ , if pq = 0.

#### Easy to see:

- a)  $\approx$  is an equivalence relation on the set of projections of A;
- b) if v is as in 2), then it is a partial isometry of A;

#### Definition (The $C^*$ -versions)

Let A be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then we say that:

- ①  $p \in \mathcal{A}$  is a projection if  $p^2 = p$  and  $p^* = p$ ;
- ② two projections  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$  are Murray-von Neumann equivalent, and denote it as  $p \approx q$ , if  $\exists v \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $p = v^*v$  and  $q = vv^*$ ;
- **3** two projections  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$  are *orthogonal*, and denote it as  $p \perp q$ , if pq = 0.

#### Easy to see:

- a)  $\approx$  is an equivalence relation on the set of projections of  $\mathcal{A}$ ;
- b) if v is as in 2), then it is a partial isometry of A;
- c) if p, q are as in 3), then qp = 0 follows.



Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra with multiplicative identity 1.

Let  $\mathcal A$  be a unital  $\mathbf C^*$ -algebra with multiplicative identity 1. We say that  $\mathcal A$  is

• Dedekind-finite or DF, if  $(\forall p \in A)$  projection:  $(p \approx 1) \implies (p = 1)$ ;

Let  $\mathcal A$  be a unital  $\mathbf C^*$ -algebra with multiplicative identity 1. We say that  $\mathcal A$  is

- Dedekind-finite or DF, if  $(\forall p \in A)$  projection:  $(p \approx 1) \implies (p = 1)$ ;
- ② *Dedekind-infinite* or *DI*, if it is not DF ( $\iff$  ( $\exists p \in A$ ) projection:  $(p \approx 1) \land (p \neq 1)$ );

Let  $\mathcal A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra with multiplicative identity 1. We say that  $\mathcal A$  is

- Dedekind-finite or DF, if  $(\forall p \in A)$  projection:  $(p \approx 1) \implies (p = 1)$ ;
- **2** Dedekind-infinite or DI, if it is not DF ( $\iff$  ( $\exists p \in A$ ) projection:  $(p \approx 1) \land (p \neq 1)$ );
- **3** properly infinite or PI, if  $(\exists p, q \in A)$  projections:  $(p \approx 1 \approx q) \land (p \perp q)$ .

... But for unital  $C^*$ -algebras both versions make sense, which one to use???

Proposition (folk, scattered through H.G. Dales' book "Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity")

Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra.

Proposition (folk, scattered through H.G. Dales' book "Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity")

Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Then

**1** A is DF as an algebra A is DF as a  $C^*$ -algebra;

# Proposition (folk, scattered through H.G. Dales' book "Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity")

Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Then

- **1** A is DF as an algebra  $\Leftrightarrow A$  is DF as a C\*-algebra;
- ②  $\mathcal{A}$  is PI as an algebra  $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is PI as a  $C^*$ -algebra.

# Proposition (folk, scattered through H.G. Dales' book "Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity")

Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Then

- **1** A is DF as an algebra  $\Leftrightarrow A$  is DF as a  $C^*$ -algebra;
- ② A is PI as an algebra  $\Leftrightarrow A$  is PI as a  $C^*$ -algebra.

#### Proof.

(Sketch.) The main ideas used:

# Proposition (folk, scattered through H.G. Dales' book "Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity")

Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Then

- **1** A is DF as an algebra  $\Leftrightarrow A$  is DF as a C\*-algebra;
- ②  $\mathcal{A}$  is PI as an algebra  $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is PI as a  $C^*$ -algebra.

#### Proof.

(Sketch.) The main ideas used:

• If  $p \in \mathcal{A}$  is an idempotent, there is a  $q \in \mathcal{A}$  projection with  $p \sim q$  and (pq = q, qp = p or pq = p, qp = q).

# Proposition (folk, scattered through H.G. Dales' book "Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity")

Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Then

- **1** A is DF as an algebra  $\Leftrightarrow A$  is DF as a  $C^*$ -algebra;
- ②  $\mathcal{A}$  is PI as an algebra  $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is PI as a  $C^*$ -algebra.

#### Proof.

(Sketch.) The main ideas used:

- If  $p \in \mathcal{A}$  is an idempotent, there is a  $q \in \mathcal{A}$  projection with  $p \sim q$  and (pq = q, qp = p or pq = p, qp = q).
- Let  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$  be projections. Then  $p \sim q \Longleftrightarrow p \approx q$ .



Let  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of unital Banach algebras.

Let  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of unital Banach algebras. Define

$$\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n) := \left\{ A := (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|a_n\| < \infty \right\};$$

Let  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of unital Banach algebras. Define

$$\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n) := \left\{ A := (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|a_n\| < \infty \right\};$$
$$c_0(\mathcal{A}_n) := \left\{ A := (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n : \lim_{n \to \infty} \|a_n\| = 0 \right\};$$

Let  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of unital Banach algebras. Define

$$\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n) := \left\{ A := (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|a_n\| < \infty \right\};$$

$$c_0(\mathcal{A}_n) := \left\{ A := (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n : \lim_{n \to \infty} \|a_n\| = 0 \right\};$$

$$c_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{A}_n) := \left\{ A := (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n : \lim_{n \to \mathcal{U}} \|a_n\| = 0 \right\};$$

Let  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of unital Banach algebras. Define

$$\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n) := \left\{ A := (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|a_n\| < \infty \right\};$$

$$c_0(\mathcal{A}_n) := \left\{ A := (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n : \lim_{n \to \infty} \|a_n\| = 0 \right\};$$

$$c_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{A}_n) := \left\{ A := (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n : \lim_{n \to \mathcal{U}} \|a_n\| = 0 \right\};$$

where  $\mathcal{U}$  is a free ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of unital Banach algebras. Define

$$\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n) := \left\{ A := (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|a_n\| < \infty \right\};$$

$$c_0(\mathcal{A}_n) := \left\{ A := (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n : \lim_{n \to \infty} \|a_n\| = 0 \right\};$$

$$c_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{A}_n) := \left\{ A := (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n : \lim_{n \to \mathcal{U}} \|a_n\| = 0 \right\};$$

where  $\mathcal{U}$  is a free ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$ .

 $\ell^\infty(\mathcal{A}_n)$  is a unital Banach algebra endowed with pointwise operations and the sup norm

$$\|A\| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|a_n\| \quad (A = (a_n) \in \ell^{\infty}(A_n)).$$

In fact,  $c_0(\mathcal{A}_n) \leq \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  and  $c_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{A}_n) \leq \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  with  $c_0(\mathcal{A}_n) \subsetneq c_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ .

In fact,  $c_0(A_n) \leq \ell^{\infty}(A_n)$  and  $c_{\mathcal{U}}(A_n) \leq \ell^{\infty}(A_n)$  with  $c_0(A_n) \subsetneq c_{\mathcal{U}}(A_n)$ .

#### Definition

The asymptotic sequence algebra and the ultraproduct of a sequence of unital Banach algebras  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  are defined as

$$Asy(\mathcal{A}_n) := \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)/c_0(\mathcal{A}_n), \text{ and}$$
 (1)

$$(\mathcal{A}_n)_{\mathcal{U}} := \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)/c_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{A}_n), \tag{2}$$

respectively.

In fact,  $c_0(\mathcal{A}_n) \leq \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  and  $c_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{A}_n) \leq \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  with  $c_0(\mathcal{A}_n) \subsetneq c_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ .

#### Definition

The asymptotic sequence algebra and the ultraproduct of a sequence of unital Banach algebras  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  are defined as

$$Asy(\mathcal{A}_n) := \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)/c_0(\mathcal{A}_n), \text{ and}$$
 (1)

$$(\mathcal{A}_n)_{\mathcal{U}} := \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)/c_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{A}_n), \tag{2}$$

respectively.

Both  $Asy(A_n)$  and  $(A_n)_U$  are unital Banach algebras.

In fact,  $c_0(\mathcal{A}_n) \leq \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  and  $c_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{A}_n) \leq \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  with  $c_0(\mathcal{A}_n) \subsetneq c_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ .

#### Definition

The asymptotic sequence algebra and the ultraproduct of a sequence of unital Banach algebras  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  are defined as

$$Asy(\mathcal{A}_n) := \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)/c_0(\mathcal{A}_n), \text{ and}$$
 (1)

$$(\mathcal{A}_n)_{\mathcal{U}} := \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)/c_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{A}_n), \tag{2}$$

respectively.

Both  $\operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}_n)_{\mathcal{U}}$  are unital Banach algebras. Let  $\pi: \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n) \to \operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  and  $\pi_{\mathcal{U}}: \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n) \to (\mathcal{A}_n)_{\mathcal{U}}$  denote the quotient maps.

In fact,  $c_0(A_n) \leq \ell^{\infty}(A_n)$  and  $c_{\mathcal{U}}(A_n) \leq \ell^{\infty}(A_n)$  with  $c_0(A_n) \subsetneq c_{\mathcal{U}}(A_n)$ .

#### Definition

The asymptotic sequence algebra and the ultraproduct of a sequence of unital Banach algebras  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  are defined as

$$Asy(\mathcal{A}_n) := \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)/c_0(\mathcal{A}_n), \text{ and}$$
 (1)

$$(\mathcal{A}_n)_{\mathcal{U}} := \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)/c_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{A}_n), \tag{2}$$

respectively.

Both  $\operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}_n)_{\mathcal{U}}$  are unital Banach algebras. Let  $\pi: \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n) \to \operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  and  $\pi_{\mathcal{U}}: \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n) \to (\mathcal{A}_n)_{\mathcal{U}}$  denote the quotient maps. The norms on  $\operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}_n)_{\mathcal{U}}$  are given by

$$\|\pi(A)\| = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|a_n\|$$
, and  $\|\pi_{\mathcal{U}}(A)\| = \lim_{n \to \mathcal{U}} \|a_n\|$   $(A = (a_n) \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)).$ 

We will focus on the asymptotic sequence algebra  $\operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  in this talk.

We will focus on the asymptotic sequence algebra  $\mathrm{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  in this talk. Both the posive results and the counter-examples can be adjusted to the ultraproducts  $(\mathcal{A}_n)_{\mathcal{U}}$ , without any difficulties.

We will focus on the asymptotic sequence algebra  $\mathrm{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  in this talk. Both the posive results and the counter-examples can be adjusted to the ultraproducts  $(\mathcal{A}_n)_{\mathcal{U}}$ , without any difficulties.

In fact, all our results hold for reduced products:

We will focus on the asymptotic sequence algebra  $\operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  in this talk. Both the posive results and the counter-examples can be adjusted to the ultraproducts  $(\mathcal{A}_n)_{\mathcal{U}}$ , without any difficulties.

In fact, all our results hold for reduced products: If  $(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a system of unital Banach algebras and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter on the indexing set  $\Gamma$ , we define the *reduced product of*  $(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  as

$$(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma})_{\mathcal{F}} := \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)/c_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{A}_n).$$

We will focus on the asymptotic sequence algebra  $\mathrm{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  in this talk. Both the posive results and the counter-examples can be adjusted to the ultraproducts  $(\mathcal{A}_n)_{\mathcal{U}}$ , without any difficulties.

In fact, all our results hold for reduced products: If  $(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a system of unital Banach algebras and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter on the indexing set  $\Gamma$ , we define the *reduced product of*  $(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  as

$$(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma})_{\mathcal{F}} := \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)/c_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{A}_n).$$

Both  $Asy(A_n)$  and  $(A_n)_{\mathcal{U}}$  are special cases of  $(A_n)_{\mathcal{F}}$ .



Aim: To classify when  $Asy(A_n)$  is infinite in terms of the  $A_n$ 's.

Aim: To classify when  $Asy(A_n)$  is infinite in terms of the  $A_n$ 's.

Recall that a unital algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is DF if for any idempotent  $p \in \mathcal{A}$ :  $p \sim 1 \Longleftrightarrow p = 1$ .

Aim: To classify when  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is infinite in terms of the  $A_n$ 's.

Recall that a unital algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is DF if for any idempotent  $p \in \mathcal{A}$ :  $p \sim 1 \iff p = 1$ .

### Theorem (Daws-H.)

Assume  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of DF Banach algebras. Then  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is DF.

Aim: To classify when  $Asy(A_n)$  is infinite in terms of the  $A_n$ 's.

Recall that a unital algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is DF if for any idempotent  $p \in \mathcal{A}$ :  $p \sim 1 \iff p = 1$ .

### Theorem (Daws-H.)

Assume  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of DF Banach algebras. Then  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is DF.

The converse is not true!

Aim: To classify when  $Asy(A_n)$  is infinite in terms of the  $A_n$ 's.

Recall that a unital algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is DF if for any idempotent  $p \in \mathcal{A}$ :  $p \sim 1 \iff p = 1$ .

### Theorem (Daws-H.)

Assume  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of DF Banach algebras. Then  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is DF.

The converse is not true!

### Theorem (Daws-H.)

There is a sequence of DI ( $\Leftrightarrow$  not DF) Banach algebras  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is DF.

Aim: To classify when  $Asy(A_n)$  is infinite in terms of the  $A_n$ 's.

Recall that a unital algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is DF if for any idempotent  $p \in \mathcal{A}$ :  $p \sim 1 \iff p = 1$ .

#### Theorem (Daws-H.)

Assume  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of DF Banach algebras. Then  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is DF.

The converse is not true!

### Theorem (Daws-H.)

There is a sequence of DI ( $\Leftrightarrow$  not DF) Banach algebras  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is DF.

More on the proofs to follow soon.



Aim: To classify when  $Asy(A_n)$  is infinite in terms of the  $A_n$ 's.

Recall that a unital algebra  $\mathcal A$  is DF if for any idempotent  $p \in \mathcal A$ :  $p \sim 1 \Longleftrightarrow p = 1$ .

#### Theorem (Daws-H.)

Assume  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of DF Banach algebras. Then  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is DF.

The converse is not true!

### Theorem (Daws-H.)

There is a sequence of DI ( $\Leftrightarrow$  not DF) Banach algebras  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is DF.

More on the proofs to follow soon. (Wishful thinking.)



However, something can be rectified in certain specific cases, when we have "nice norm control".

However, something can be rectified in certain specific cases, when we have "nice norm control". The following is simple corollary of a more general (but less visual) result:

#### Corollary (Daws-H.)

Let  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of unital Banach algebras such that  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is DF. Moreover, suppose that one of the following two conditions hold:

- **1**  $A_n = A_m$  for every  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- ②  $A_n$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A_n$  is DF for  $n \geq N$ .

However, something can be rectified in certain specific cases, when we have "nice norm control". The following is simple corollary of a more general (but less visual) result:

### Corollary (Daws-H.)

Let  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of unital Banach algebras such that  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is DF. Moreover, suppose that one of the following two conditions hold:

- **1**  $A_n = A_m$  for every  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- ②  $A_n$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A_n$  is DF for  $n \geq N$ .

The  $C^*$ -case is very well known.

Recall that a unital algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is PI if there exist idempotents  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $p \sim 1 \sim q$  and  $p \perp q$ .

Recall that a unital algebra  $\mathcal A$  is PI if there exist idempotents  $p,q\in\mathcal A$  such that  $p\sim 1\sim q$  and  $p\perp q$ .

## Theorem (Daws-H.)

Let  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of unital Banach algebras such that  $\mathrm{Asy}(A_n)$  is properly infinite. Then there is an  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $A_n$  is properly infinite for every  $n\geq N$ .

Recall that a unital algebra  $\mathcal A$  is PI if there exist idempotents  $p,q\in\mathcal A$  such that  $p\sim 1\sim q$  and  $p\perp q$ .

### Theorem (Daws-H.)

Let  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of unital Banach algebras such that  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is properly infinite. Then there is an  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $A_n$  is properly infinite for every  $n\geq N$ .

The converse is, again, false.

Recall that a unital algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is PI if there exist idempotents  $p,q\in\mathcal{A}$  such that  $p\sim 1\sim q$  and  $p\perp q$ .

### Theorem (Daws-H.)

Let  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of unital Banach algebras such that  $\mathrm{Asy}(A_n)$  is properly infinite. Then there is an  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $A_n$  is properly infinite for every  $n\geq N$ .

The converse is, again, false.

### Theorem (Daws-H.)

There is a sequence of PI Banach algebras  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is not properly infinite.

Recall that a unital algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is PI if there exist idempotents  $p,q\in\mathcal{A}$  such that  $p\sim 1\sim q$  and  $p\perp q$ .

### Theorem (Daws-H.)

Let  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of unital Banach algebras such that  $\mathrm{Asy}(A_n)$  is properly infinite. Then there is an  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $A_n$  is properly infinite for every  $n\geq N$ .

The converse is, again, false.

### Theorem (Daws-H.)

There is a sequence of PI Banach algebras  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is not properly infinite.

Both of these results are somewhat harder to prove than their respective DF-counterparts.



Having "nice norm control" can save the day again.

Having "nice norm control" can save the day again. The following is simple corollary of a more general result:

### Corollary (Daws-H.)

Let  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of PI Banach algebras. Moreover, suppose that one of the following two conditions hold:

- **1**  $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{A}_m$  for every  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- **2**  $A_n$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then  $Asy(A_n)$  is PI.

Having "nice norm control" can save the day again. The following is simple corollary of a more general result:

# Corollary (Daws-H.)

Let  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of PI Banach algebras. Moreover, suppose that one of the following two conditions hold:

- **1**  $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{A}_m$  for every  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- ②  $A_n$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then  $Asy(A_n)$  is PI.

The  $C^*$ -case is very well known.

A unital Ban. alg.  $\mathcal{A}$  has stable rank one if  $inv(\mathcal{A})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

A unital Ban. alg.  $\mathcal{A}$  has stable rank one if  $inv(\mathcal{A})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

## Proposition (Daws–H.)

Let A be a unital Banach algebra such that Asy(A) has stable rank one. Then A has stable rank one.

A unital Ban. alg. A has stable rank one if inv(A) is dense in A.

# Proposition (Daws–H.)

Let A be a unital Banach algebra such that Asy(A) has stable rank one. Then A has stable rank one.

The converse is...

A unital Ban. alg.  $\mathcal{A}$  has stable rank one if  $inv(\mathcal{A})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

# Proposition (Daws–H.)

Let A be a unital Banach algebra such that Asy(A) has stable rank one. Then A has stable rank one.

The converse is... (\*drumroll\*)

A unital Ban. alg.  $\mathcal{A}$  has stable rank one if  $inv(\mathcal{A})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

# Proposition (Daws–H.)

Let A be a unital Banach algebra such that Asy(A) has stable rank one. Then A has stable rank one.

The converse is... (\*drumroll\*) False!

A unital Ban. alg.  $\mathcal{A}$  has stable rank one if  $inv(\mathcal{A})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

# Proposition (Daws–H.)

Let A be a unital Banach algebra such that Asy(A) has stable rank one. Then A has stable rank one.

The converse is... (\*drumroll\*) False!

#### Theorem (Daws-H.)

Let  $\mathcal{A} := \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  has stable rank one, but  $\mathrm{Asy}(\mathcal{A})$  does not have stable rank one.

A unital Ban. alg.  $\mathcal{A}$  has stable rank one if  $inv(\mathcal{A})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

# Proposition (Daws–H.)

Let A be a unital Banach algebra such that Asy(A) has stable rank one. Then A has stable rank one.

The converse is... (\*drumroll\*) False!

#### Theorem (Daws-H.)

Let  $\mathcal{A} := \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  has stable rank one, but  $\mathrm{Asy}(\mathcal{A})$  does not have stable rank one.

A unital Ban. alg.  $\mathcal{A}$  has stable rank one if  $inv(\mathcal{A})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

# Proposition (Daws-H.)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital Banach algebra such that  $\mathrm{Asy}(\mathcal{A})$  has stable rank one. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  has stable rank one.

The converse is... (\*drumroll\*) False!

#### Theorem (Daws-H.)

Let  $\mathcal{A} := \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  has stable rank one, but  $\mathrm{Asy}(\mathcal{A})$  does not have stable rank one.

#### Fun facts

• The positive result (Proposition) only uses elementary methods;

A unital Ban. alg. A has stable rank one if inv(A) is dense in A.

## Proposition (Daws–H.)

Let A be a unital Banach algebra such that Asy(A) has stable rank one. Then A has stable rank one.

The converse is... (\*drumroll\*) False!

#### Theorem (Daws-H.)

Let  $\mathcal{A} := \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  has stable rank one, but  $\mathrm{Asy}(\mathcal{A})$  does not have stable rank one.

#### Fun facts

- The positive result (Proposition) only uses elementary methods;
- but the counter-example (Theorem) relies on sledgehammers.

We might also ask what happens when considering stable rank one.

A unital Ban. alg. A has stable rank one if inv(A) is dense in A.

## Proposition (Daws-H.)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital Banach algebra such that  $\mathrm{Asy}(\mathcal{A})$  has stable rank one. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  has stable rank one.

The converse is... (\*drumroll\*) False!

### Theorem (Daws-H.)

Let  $\mathcal{A} := \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  has stable rank one, but  $\mathrm{Asy}(\mathcal{A})$  does not have stable rank one.

#### Fun facts

- The positive result (Proposition) only uses elementary methods;
- but the counter-example (Theorem) relies on sledgehammers.
- If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $\mathcal{A}$  has stable rank one  $\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A})$  has stable rank one. [follows from work of e.g. Farah–Rørdam]

We went to prove:

#### Theorem

Assume  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of DF Banach algebras. Then  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is DF.

We went to prove:

#### Theorem

Assume  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of DF Banach algebras. Then  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is DF.

Some of the ingredients:

We went to prove:

#### $\mathsf{Theorem}$

Assume  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of DF Banach algebras. Then  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is DF.

Some of the ingredients: Let  ${\cal A}$  be a unital Banach algebra.

### Very simple but very important fact

If  $p \in \mathcal{A}$  is an idempotent with ||p|| < 1, then p = 0.

We went to prove:

#### Theorem

Assume  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of DF Banach algebras. Then  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is DF.

Some of the ingredients: Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital Banach algebra.

### Very simple but very important fact

If  $p \in \mathcal{A}$  is an idempotent with ||p|| < 1, then p = 0.

The Approximate Idempotent Lemma:

### Proposition (folk)

Let  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  be such that  $\nu := \|a^2 - a\| < 1/4$ . Then there is an idempotent  $p \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\|p - a\| \le f_{\|a\|}(\nu)$  holds. Moreover, if  $y \in \mathcal{A}$  is such that ay = ya then yp = py.



### Proof of Theorem.

(Step 1.) Idempotents in  $\operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  can be lifted to idempotents in  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ .

### Proof of Theorem.

(Step 1.) Idempotents in  $Asy(A_n)$  can be lifted to idempotents in  $\ell^{\infty}(A_n)$ . Let  $p \in Asy(A_n)$  be an idempotent.

### Proof of Theorem.

(Step 1.) Idempotents in  $\operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  can be lifted to idempotents in  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ . Let  $p \in \operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  be an idempotent. Choose  $X = (x_n) \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  with  $\pi(X) = p$ ,

#### Proof of Theorem.

(Step 1.) Idempotents in  $\operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  can be lifted to idempotents in  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ . Let  $p \in \operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  be an idempotent. Choose  $X = (x_n) \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  with  $\pi(X) = p$ , so

$$\pi(X^2) = \pi(X)^2 = p^2 = p = \pi(X)$$

#### Proof of Theorem.

(Step 1.) Idempotents in  $\operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  can be lifted to idempotents in  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ . Let  $p \in \operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  be an idempotent. Choose  $X = (x_n) \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  with  $\pi(X) = p$ , so

$$\pi(X^2) = \pi(X)^2 = p^2 = p = \pi(X) \Longleftrightarrow X - X^2 \in c_0(\mathcal{A}_n).$$

### Proof of Theorem.

(Step 1.) Idempotents in  $\operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  can be lifted to idempotents in  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ . Let  $p \in \operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  be an idempotent. Choose  $X = (x_n) \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  with  $\pi(X) = p$ , so

$$\pi(X^2) = \pi(X)^2 = p^2 = p = \pi(X) \Longleftrightarrow X - X^2 \in c_0(\mathcal{A}_n).$$

Let us introduce  $\nu_n := \|x_n - x_n^2\|$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \nu_n = 0$ .

#### Proof of Theorem.

(Step 1.) Idempotents in  $\operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  can be lifted to idempotents in  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ . Let  $p \in \operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  be an idempotent. Choose  $X = (x_n) \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  with  $\pi(X) = p$ , so

$$\pi(X^2) = \pi(X)^2 = p^2 = p = \pi(X) \Longleftrightarrow X - X^2 \in c_0(\mathcal{A}_n).$$

Let us introduce  $\nu_n:=\|x_n-x_n^2\|$  for every  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\nu_n=0$ . In particular, there is  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $n\geq N$  we have  $\nu_n<1/8$ .

#### Proof of Theorem.

(Step 1.) Idempotents in  $\operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  can be lifted to idempotents in  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ . Let  $p \in \operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  be an idempotent. Choose  $X = (x_n) \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  with  $\pi(X) = p$ , so

$$\pi(X^2) = \pi(X)^2 = p^2 = p = \pi(X) \Longleftrightarrow X - X^2 \in c_0(\mathcal{A}_n).$$

Let us introduce  $\nu_n:=\|x_n-x_n^2\|$  for every  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\nu_n=0$ . In particular, there is  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $n\geq N$  we have  $\nu_n<1/8$ . In view of the Approximate Idempotent Lemma, for every  $n\geq N$  there is an idempotent  $p_n'\in\mathcal{A}_n$  with

$$||x_n-p_n'||\leq f_{||x_n||}(\nu_n)$$

#### Proof of Theorem.

(Step 1.) Idempotents in  $\operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  can be lifted to idempotents in  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ . Let  $p \in \operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  be an idempotent. Choose  $X = (x_n) \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  with  $\pi(X) = p$ , so

$$\pi(X^2) = \pi(X)^2 = p^2 = p = \pi(X) \Longleftrightarrow X - X^2 \in c_0(\mathcal{A}_n).$$

Let us introduce  $\nu_n:=\|x_n-x_n^2\|$  for every  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\nu_n=0$ . In particular, there is  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $n\geq N$  we have  $\nu_n<1/8$ . In view of the Approximate Idempotent Lemma, for every  $n\geq N$  there is an idempotent  $p_n'\in\mathcal{A}_n$  with

$$||x_n - p'_n|| \le f_{||x_n||}(\nu_n) \le f_{||X||}(\nu_n)$$

#### Proof of Theorem.

(Step 1.) Idempotents in  $\operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  can be lifted to idempotents in  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ . Let  $p \in \operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  be an idempotent. Choose  $X = (x_n) \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  with  $\pi(X) = p$ , so

$$\pi(X^2) = \pi(X)^2 = p^2 = p = \pi(X) \Longleftrightarrow X - X^2 \in c_0(\mathcal{A}_n).$$

Let us introduce  $\nu_n:=\|x_n-x_n^2\|$  for every  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\nu_n=0$ . In particular, there is  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $n\geq N$  we have  $\nu_n<1/8$ . In view of the Approximate Idempotent Lemma, for every  $n\geq N$  there is an idempotent  $p_n'\in\mathcal{A}_n$  with

$$||x_n - p'_n|| \le f_{||x_n||}(\nu_n) \le f_{||X||}(\nu_n) \le f_{||X||}(1/8).$$

### Proof of Theorem.

(Step 1.) Idempotents in  $\operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  can be lifted to idempotents in  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ . Let  $p \in \operatorname{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  be an idempotent. Choose  $X = (x_n) \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  with  $\pi(X) = p$ , so

$$\pi(X^2) = \pi(X)^2 = p^2 = p = \pi(X) \Longleftrightarrow X - X^2 \in c_0(\mathcal{A}_n).$$

Let us introduce  $\nu_n:=\|x_n-x_n^2\|$  for every  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\nu_n=0$ . In particular, there is  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $n\geq N$  we have  $\nu_n<1/8$ . In view of the Approximate Idempotent Lemma, for every  $n\geq N$  there is an idempotent  $p_n'\in\mathcal{A}_n$  with

$$||x_n - p'_n|| \le f_{||x_n||}(\nu_n) \le f_{||X||}(\nu_n) \le f_{||X||}(1/8).$$

By continuity of  $f_{\|X\|}$ , it follows that  $\lim_{n\geq N} f_{\|X\|}(\nu_n) = 0$ ; consequently  $\lim_{n\geq N} \|x_n - p'_n\| = 0$ .

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define

$$p_n := \left\{ egin{array}{ll} p_n' & & ext{if } n \geq N \ 0 & & ext{otherwise}. \end{array} 
ight.$$

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define

$$p_n := \left\{ egin{array}{ll} p_n' & & ext{if } n \geq N \ 0 & & ext{otherwise}. \end{array} 
ight.$$

Since

$$||p'_n|| \le ||p'_n - x_n|| + ||x_n|| \le f_{||X||}(1/8) + ||X|| \quad (n \ge N),$$

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define

$$p_n := \left\{ egin{array}{ll} p_n' & & ext{if } n \geq N \ 0 & & ext{otherwise}. \end{array} 
ight.$$

Since

$$||p'_n|| \le ||p'_n - x_n|| + ||x_n|| \le f_{||X||}(1/8) + ||X|| \quad (n \ge N),$$

it follows that  $P := (p_n)$  is an idempotent in  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ .

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define

$$p_n := \left\{ egin{array}{ll} p_n' & ext{ if } n \geq N \\ 0 & ext{ otherwise.} \end{array} 
ight.$$

Since

$$\|p'_n\| \le \|p'_n - x_n\| + \|x_n\| \le f_{\|X\|}(1/8) + \|X\| \quad (n \ge N),$$

it follows that  $P:=(p_n)$  is an idempotent in  $\ell^\infty(\mathcal{A}_n)$ . We observe that  $p=\pi(P)$  by  $\lim_{n\geq N}\|x_n-p_n'\|=0$ .

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define

$$p_n := \left\{ egin{array}{ll} p_n' & ext{ if } n \geq N \\ 0 & ext{ otherwise.} \end{array} 
ight.$$

Since

$$||p'_n|| \le ||p'_n - x_n|| + ||x_n|| \le f_{||X||}(1/8) + ||X|| \quad (n \ge N),$$

it follows that  $P:=(p_n)$  is an idempotent in  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ . We observe that  $p=\pi(P)$  by  $\lim_{n\geq N}\|x_n-p'_n\|=0$ . (Step 2.) Now suppose further that  $p\sim 1$ .

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define

$$p_n := \left\{ egin{array}{ll} p_n' & ext{ if } n \geq N \\ 0 & ext{ otherwise.} \end{array} 
ight.$$

Since

$$||p'_n|| \le ||p'_n - x_n|| + ||x_n|| \le f_{||X||}(1/8) + ||X|| \quad (n \ge N),$$

it follows that  $P:=(p_n)$  is an idempotent in  $\ell^\infty(\mathcal{A}_n)$ . We observe that  $p=\pi(P)$  by  $\lim_{n>N}\|x_n-p_n'\|=0$ .

(Step 2.) Now suppose further that  $p \sim 1$ . So there exist  $a, b \in \mathrm{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  such that 1 = ab and p = ba.

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define

$$p_n := \left\{ egin{array}{ll} p_n' & & ext{if } n \geq N \\ 0 & & ext{otherwise.} \end{array} 
ight.$$

Since

$$||p'_n|| \le ||p'_n - x_n|| + ||x_n|| \le f_{||X||}(1/8) + ||X|| \quad (n \ge N),$$

it follows that  $P:=(p_n)$  is an idempotent in  $\ell^\infty(\mathcal{A}_n)$ . We observe that  $p=\pi(P)$  by  $\lim_{n\geq N}\|x_n-p_n'\|=0$ .

(Step 2.) Now suppose further that  $p \sim 1$ . So there exist  $a, b \in \operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  such that 1 = ab and p = ba. There are  $A = (a_n)$ ,  $B = (b_n) \in \ell^{\infty}(A_n)$  such that  $a = \pi(A)$  and  $b = \pi(B)$ ,

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define

$$p_n := \left\{ egin{array}{ll} p_n' & ext{ if } n \geq N \\ 0 & ext{ otherwise.} \end{array} 
ight.$$

Since

$$||p'_n|| \le ||p'_n - x_n|| + ||x_n|| \le f_{||X||}(1/8) + ||X|| \quad (n \ge N),$$

it follows that  $P:=(p_n)$  is an idempotent in  $\ell^\infty(\mathcal{A}_n)$ . We observe that  $p=\pi(P)$  by  $\lim_{n\geq N}\|x_n-p_n'\|=0$ . (Step 2.) Now suppose further that  $p\sim 1$ . So there exist  $a,b\in \mathrm{Asy}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  such that 1=ab and p=ba. There are  $A=(a_n)$ ,  $B=(b_n)\in \ell^\infty(\mathcal{A}_n)$  such that  $a=\pi(A)$  and  $b=\pi(B)$ , consequently

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|1_n-a_nb_n\|=0 \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty}\|p_n-b_na_n\|=0.$$

Now let  $\delta \in (0,1)$  be such that

$$||A|||B||\delta/(1-\delta) + 2\delta < 1.$$

Now let  $\delta \in (0,1)$  be such that

$$||A|||B||\delta/(1-\delta)+2\delta<1.$$

Let  $M \geq N$  be such that for all  $n \geq M$  the inequality  $\|1_n - a_n b_n\| < \delta$  holds,

Now let  $\delta \in (0,1)$  be such that

$$||A|||B||\delta/(1-\delta)+2\delta<1.$$

Let  $M \geq N$  be such that for all  $n \geq M$  the inequality  $\|1_n - a_n b_n\| < \delta$  holds, then  $u_n := a_n b_n \in \operatorname{inv}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  with  $\|1_n - u_n^{-1}\| < \delta/(1 - \delta)$ .

Now let  $\delta \in (0,1)$  be such that

$$||A|||B||\delta/(1-\delta)+2\delta<1.$$

Let  $M \geq N$  be such that for all  $n \geq M$  the inequality  $\|1_n - a_n b_n\| < \delta$  holds, then  $u_n := a_n b_n \in \operatorname{inv}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  with  $\|1_n - u_n^{-1}\| < \delta/(1 - \delta)$ . Define

$$q_n:=b_nu_n^{-1}a_n\quad (n\geq M),$$

then  $q_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$  is an idempotent with  $q_n \sim 1_n$ .

Now let  $\delta \in (0,1)$  be such that

$$||A|||B||\delta/(1-\delta)+2\delta<1.$$

Let  $M \geq N$  be such that for all  $n \geq M$  the inequality  $\|1_n - a_n b_n\| < \delta$  holds, then  $u_n := a_n b_n \in \operatorname{inv}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  with  $\|1_n - u_n^{-1}\| < \delta/(1 - \delta)$ . Define

$$q_n:=b_nu_n^{-1}a_n\quad (n\geq M),$$

then  $q_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$  is an idempotent with  $q_n \sim 1_n$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is DF, it follows for all  $n \geq M$  that  $q_n = 1_n$ .

Now let  $\delta \in (0,1)$  be such that

$$||A|||B||\delta/(1-\delta)+2\delta<1.$$

Let  $M \geq N$  be such that for all  $n \geq M$  the inequality  $\|1_n - a_n b_n\| < \delta$  holds, then  $u_n := a_n b_n \in \operatorname{inv}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  with  $\|1_n - u_n^{-1}\| < \delta/(1 - \delta)$ . Define

$$q_n:=b_nu_n^{-1}a_n\quad (n\geq M),$$

then  $q_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$  is an idempotent with  $q_n \sim 1_n$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is DF, it follows for all  $n \geq M$  that  $q_n = 1_n$ . We need to show that p = 1 holds,

Now let  $\delta \in (0,1)$  be such that

$$||A|||B||\delta/(1-\delta)+2\delta<1.$$

Let  $M \geq N$  be such that for all  $n \geq M$  the inequality  $\|1_n - a_n b_n\| < \delta$  holds, then  $u_n := a_n b_n \in \operatorname{inv}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  with  $\|1_n - u_n^{-1}\| < \delta/(1 - \delta)$ . Define

$$q_n:=b_nu_n^{-1}a_n\quad (n\geq M),$$

then  $q_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$  is an idempotent with  $q_n \sim 1_n$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is DF, it follows for all  $n \geq M$  that  $q_n = 1_n$ . We need to show that p = 1 holds, which is equivalent to showing  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|1_n - p_n\| = 0$ .

Now let  $\delta \in (0,1)$  be such that

$$||A|||B||\delta/(1-\delta)+2\delta<1.$$

Let  $M \geq N$  be such that for all  $n \geq M$  the inequality  $\|1_n - a_n b_n\| < \delta$  holds, then  $u_n := a_n b_n \in \operatorname{inv}(\mathcal{A}_n)$  with  $\|1_n - u_n^{-1}\| < \delta/(1 - \delta)$ . Define

$$q_n:=b_nu_n^{-1}a_n \quad (n\geq M),$$

then  $q_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$  is an idempotent with  $q_n \sim 1_n$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is DF, it follows for all  $n \geq M$  that  $q_n = 1_n$ . We need to show that p = 1 holds, which is equivalent to showing  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|1_n - p_n\| = 0$ . Since  $1_n - p_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$  is an idempotent for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , it is enough to show that eventually  $\|1_n - p_n\| < 1$ .



Let  $K \ge M$  be such that for every  $n \ge K$ 

$$||x_n-b_na_n||<\delta, \quad ||x_n-p_n'||<\delta.$$

Let  $K \geq M$  be such that for every  $n \geq K$ 

$$||x_n-b_na_n||<\delta, \quad ||x_n-p_n'||<\delta.$$

Then for every  $n \ge K$  we have  $p_n = p'_n$  and  $1_n = q_n$ , thus

Let  $K \ge M$  be such that for every  $n \ge K$ 

$$||x_n-b_na_n||<\delta, \quad ||x_n-p_n'||<\delta.$$

Then for every  $n \geq K$  we have  $p_n = p'_n$  and  $1_n = q_n$ , thus

$$||1_n - p_n|| = ||q_n - p_n'||$$

Let  $K \ge M$  be such that for every  $n \ge K$ 

$$||x_n-b_na_n||<\delta, \quad ||x_n-p_n'||<\delta.$$

$$||1_n - p_n|| = ||q_n - p'_n||$$
  
=  $||b_n u_n^{-1} a_n - p'_n||$ 

Let  $K \ge M$  be such that for every  $n \ge K$ 

$$||x_n-b_na_n||<\delta, \quad ||x_n-p_n'||<\delta.$$

$$||1_n - p_n|| = ||q_n - p'_n||$$

$$= ||b_n u_n^{-1} a_n - p'_n||$$

$$\leq ||b_n u_n^{-1} a_n - b_n a_n|| + ||b_n a_n - x_n|| + ||x_n - p'_n||$$

Let  $K \ge M$  be such that for every  $n \ge K$ 

$$||x_n-b_na_n||<\delta, \quad ||x_n-p_n'||<\delta.$$

$$\begin{split} \|1_{n} - p_{n}\| &= \|q_{n} - p'_{n}\| \\ &= \|b_{n}u_{n}^{-1}a_{n} - p'_{n}\| \\ &\leq \|b_{n}u_{n}^{-1}a_{n} - b_{n}a_{n}\| + \|b_{n}a_{n} - x_{n}\| + \|x_{n} - p'_{n}\| \\ &\leq \|b_{n}\|\|u_{n}^{-1} - 1_{n}\|\|a_{n}\| + \|b_{n}a_{n} - x_{n}\| + \|x_{n} - p'_{n}\| \end{split}$$

Let  $K \ge M$  be such that for every  $n \ge K$ 

$$||x_n-b_na_n||<\delta, \quad ||x_n-p_n'||<\delta.$$

$$\begin{split} \|1_{n} - p_{n}\| &= \|q_{n} - p'_{n}\| \\ &= \|b_{n}u_{n}^{-1}a_{n} - p'_{n}\| \\ &\leq \|b_{n}u_{n}^{-1}a_{n} - b_{n}a_{n}\| + \|b_{n}a_{n} - x_{n}\| + \|x_{n} - p'_{n}\| \\ &\leq \|b_{n}\|\|u_{n}^{-1} - 1_{n}\|\|a_{n}\| + \|b_{n}a_{n} - x_{n}\| + \|x_{n} - p'_{n}\| \\ &\leq \|A\|\|B\|\delta/(1 - \delta) + 2\delta \end{split}$$

Let  $K \geq M$  be such that for every  $n \geq K$ 

$$||x_n-b_na_n||<\delta, \quad ||x_n-p_n'||<\delta.$$

$$||1_{n} - p_{n}|| = ||q_{n} - p'_{n}||$$

$$= ||b_{n}u_{n}^{-1}a_{n} - p'_{n}||$$

$$\leq ||b_{n}u_{n}^{-1}a_{n} - b_{n}a_{n}|| + ||b_{n}a_{n} - x_{n}|| + ||x_{n} - p'_{n}||$$

$$\leq ||b_{n}|||u_{n}^{-1} - 1_{n}||||a_{n}|| + ||b_{n}a_{n} - x_{n}|| + ||x_{n} - p'_{n}||$$

$$\leq ||A||||B||\delta/(1 - \delta) + 2\delta$$

$$< 1.$$

Let  $K \geq M$  be such that for every  $n \geq K$ 

$$||x_n-b_na_n||<\delta, \quad ||x_n-p_n'||<\delta.$$

Then for every  $n \geq K$  we have  $p_n = p'_n$  and  $1_n = q_n$ , thus

$$||1_{n} - p_{n}|| = ||q_{n} - p'_{n}||$$

$$= ||b_{n}u_{n}^{-1}a_{n} - p'_{n}||$$

$$\leq ||b_{n}u_{n}^{-1}a_{n} - b_{n}a_{n}|| + ||b_{n}a_{n} - x_{n}|| + ||x_{n} - p'_{n}||$$

$$\leq ||b_{n}|||u_{n}^{-1} - 1_{n}||||a_{n}|| + ||b_{n}a_{n} - x_{n}|| + ||x_{n} - p'_{n}||$$

$$\leq ||A||||B||\delta/(1 - \delta) + 2\delta$$

$$< 1.$$

This concludes the proof.



Idempotents in Banach algebras can have arbitrarily big norm!

Idempotents in Banach algebras can have arbitrarily big norm!

### Example

Consider  $\mathcal{A}:=\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$  with the pointwise product.

Idempotents in Banach algebras can have arbitrarily big norm!

### Example

Consider  $\mathcal{A}:=\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$  with the pointwise product. Define

$$p_n := (\underbrace{1,1,1,\ldots,1}_{n \text{ terms}},0,0,\ldots) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Idempotents in Banach algebras can have arbitrarily big norm!

### Example

Consider  $\mathcal{A}:=\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$  with the pointwise product. Define

$$p_n := (\underbrace{1,1,1,\ldots,1}_{n \text{ terms}},0,0,\ldots) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Clearly  $p_n \in \mathcal{A}$  is an idempotent for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

Idempotents in Banach algebras can have arbitrarily big norm!

#### Example

Consider  $\mathcal{A}:=\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$  with the pointwise product. Define

$$p_n := (\underbrace{1,1,1,\ldots,1}_{n \text{ terms}},0,0,\ldots) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Clearly  $p_n \in \mathcal{A}$  is an idempotent for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , but  $||p_n|| = n$  and hence  $(p_n) \notin \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$ .

### Theorem (Daws-H.)

There is a sequence of DI ( $\Leftrightarrow$  not DF) Banach algebras  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is DF.

### Theorem (Daws–H.)

There is a sequence of DI ( $\Leftrightarrow$  not DF) Banach algebras  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is DF.

Let I be a non-empty set, let  $\nu: I \to (0, \infty)$  be a function.

#### Theorem (Daws–H.)

There is a sequence of DI ( $\Leftrightarrow$  not DF) Banach algebras  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $Asy(A_n)$  is DF.

Let I be a non-empty set, let  $\nu:I\to (0,\infty)$  be a function. Define

$$\ell^1(I,
u) := \left\{f: \ I o \mathbb{C}: \ \|f\|_
u := \sum_{s \in I} |f(s)| 
u(s) < +\infty 
ight\}.$$

#### Theorem (Daws–H.)

There is a sequence of DI ( $\Leftrightarrow$  not DF) Banach algebras  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $Asy(A_n)$  is DF.

Let I be a non-empty set, let  $\nu:I \to (0,\infty)$  be a function. Define

$$\ell^1(I,
u) := \left\{f: \ I o \mathbb{C}: \ \|f\|_
u := \sum_{s \in I} |f(s)| 
u(s) < +\infty 
ight\}.$$

 $\bullet$   $(\ell^1(I,\nu),\|\cdot\|_{\nu})$  is a Banach space;

#### Theorem (Daws–H.)

There is a sequence of DI ( $\Leftrightarrow$  not DF) Banach algebras  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\operatorname{Asy}(A_n)$  is DF.

Let I be a non-empty set, let  $\nu:I\to (0,\infty)$  be a function. Define

$$\ell^1(I,
u) := \left\{f: \ I o \mathbb{C}: \ \|f\|_
u := \sum_{s \in I} |f(s)| 
u(s) < +\infty 
ight\}.$$

- $\bullet$   $(\ell^1(I,\nu),\|\cdot\|_{\nu})$  is a Banach space;
- $\ell^1(I,\nu) = \overline{\operatorname{span}\{\delta_s : s \in I\}}^{\|\cdot\|_{\nu}}$ ,

#### Theorem (Daws–H.)

There is a sequence of DI ( $\Leftrightarrow$  not DF) Banach algebras  $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $Asy(A_n)$  is DF.

Let I be a non-empty set, let  $\nu:I\to (0,\infty)$  be a function. Define

$$\ell^1(I,
u) := \left\{f:\ I o \mathbb{C}:\ \|f\|_
u := \sum_{s \in I} |f(s)|
u(s) < +\infty
ight\}.$$

- $\bullet$   $(\ell^1(I,\nu),\|\cdot\|_{\nu})$  is a Banach space;
- $\ell^1(I,\nu) = \overline{\operatorname{span}\{\delta_s : s \in I\}}^{\|\cdot\|_{\nu}}$ , hence

$$f = \sum_{s \in I} f(s) \delta_s \quad (f \in \ell^1(I, \nu))$$

where the sum converges in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$ .

Let S be a monoid. Let  $\omega: S \to [1, \infty)$  be a *weight* on S,

Let S be a monoid. Let  $\omega:S\to [1,\infty)$  be a *weight* on S, that is,

- $\omega(st) \leq \omega(s)\omega(t)$  for all  $s, t \in S$ ;
- $\omega(e) = 1$ , where e is the mutiplicative identity of S.

Let S be a monoid. Let  $\omega:S\to [1,\infty)$  be a *weight* on S, that is,

- $\omega(st) \leq \omega(s)\omega(t)$  for all  $s, t \in S$ ;
- $\omega(e) = 1$ , where *e* is the mutiplicative identity of *S*.

The *convolution product* on  $\ell^1(S,\omega)$  is defined by

$$(f*g)(r) := \sum_{st=r} f(s)g(t) \quad (f,g \in \ell^1(S,\omega), r \in S).$$

Let S be a monoid. Let  $\omega:S\to [1,\infty)$  be a *weight* on S, that is,

- $\omega(st) \leq \omega(s)\omega(t)$  for all  $s, t \in S$ ;
- $\omega(e) = 1$ , where *e* is the mutiplicative identity of *S*.

The *convolution product* on  $\ell^1(S,\omega)$  is defined by

$$(f*g)(r):=\sum_{st=r}f(s)g(t)\quad (f,g\in\ell^1(S,\omega),\ r\in S).$$

Thus

 $(\ell^1(S,\omega),*)$  is a unital Banach algebra.

### Proposition (Daws-H.)

Let S be a monoid with unit  $e \in S$  and let  $\omega : S \to [1, \infty)$  be a weight on S.

### Proposition (Daws–H.)

Let S be a monoid with unit  $e \in S$  and let  $\omega : S \to [1, \infty)$  be a weight on S. Let  $p \in (\ell^1(S, \omega), *)$  be a non-zero idempotent such that  $p \neq \delta_e$ .

### Proposition (Daws–H.)

Let S be a monoid with unit  $e \in S$  and let  $\omega : S \to [1, \infty)$  be a weight on S. Let  $p \in (\ell^1(S, \omega), *)$  be a non-zero idempotent such that  $p \neq \delta_e$ . Then

$$\|p\|_{\omega} \geq \frac{1}{2}\inf\left\{\omega(s): s \in S, s \neq e\right\}.$$

### Proposition (Daws-H.)

Let S be a monoid with unit  $e \in S$  and let  $\omega : S \to [1, \infty)$  be a weight on S. Let  $p \in (\ell^1(S, \omega), *)$  be a non-zero idempotent such that  $p \neq \delta_e$ . Then

$$\lVert p 
Vert_{\omega} \geq rac{1}{2} \inf \left\{ \omega(s) : \, s \in S, s 
eq e 
ight\}.$$

For our counter-example, we need the bicyclic monoid BC.

### Proposition (Daws-H.)

Let S be a monoid with unit  $e \in S$  and let  $\omega : S \to [1, \infty)$  be a weight on S. Let  $p \in (\ell^1(S, \omega), *)$  be a non-zero idempotent such that  $p \neq \delta_e$ . Then

$$\|p\|_{\omega} \geq rac{1}{2}\inf\left\{\omega(s): s \in S, s 
eq e
ight\}.$$

For our counter-example, we need the *bicyclic monoid BC*. That is, the free monoid generated by elements p, q subject to the single relation that pq = e:

$$BC = \langle p, q : pq = e \rangle.$$



Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define the weight  $\omega_n : BC \to [1, \infty)$  on BC the following way:

$$\omega_n(s) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} n & \text{if } s \in BC \setminus \{e\} \\ 1 & \text{if } s = e. \end{array} \right.$$

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define the weight  $\omega_n : BC \to [1, \infty)$  on BC the following way:

$$\omega_n(s) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} n & \text{if } s \in BC \setminus \{e\} \\ 1 & \text{if } s = e. \end{array} \right.$$

Then with  $A_n := (\ell^1(BC, \omega_n), *)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define the weight  $\omega_n : BC \to [1, \infty)$  on BC the following way:

$$\omega_n(s) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} n & \text{if } s \in BC \setminus \{e\} \\ 1 & \text{if } s = e. \end{array} \right.$$

Then with  $A_n := (\ell^1(BC, \omega_n), *)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain

**1**  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is DI for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; and

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define the weight  $\omega_n : BC \to [1, \infty)$  on BC the following way:

$$\omega_n(s) := \left\{ egin{array}{ll} n & \quad ext{if } s \in BC \setminus \{e\} \ 1 & \quad ext{if } s = e. \end{array} \right.$$

Then with  $A_n := (\ell^1(BC, \omega_n), *)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain

- **1**  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is DI for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; and
- **2** Asy( $\mathcal{A}_n$ ) is DF.

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define the weight  $\omega_n : BC \to [1, \infty)$  on BC the following way:

$$\omega_n(s) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} n & \text{if } s \in BC \setminus \{e\} \\ 1 & \text{if } s = e. \end{array} \right.$$

Then with  $A_n := (\ell^1(BC, \omega_n), *)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain

- **1**  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is DI for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; and
- $ext{ } ext{ } ext$

## OK, the very last one, really

Thank you for your attention :)

[Insert funny picture here, I am too technologically illiterate.]

# OK, the very last one, really

Thank you for your attention:)

[Insert funny picture here, I am too technologically illiterate.]

#### Sources

- I. Farah, "Combinatorial Set Theory of C\*-algebras", available on Farah's website, and forthcoming from Springer;
- I. Farah, B. Hart, D. Sherman, a series of papers titled "Model theory of operator algebras";
- I. Farah, B. Hart, M. Lupini, L. Robert, A. Tikuisis, A. Vignati, W. Winter, "Model Theory of Nuclear C\*-algebras", to appear in Memoirs of the AMS;
- M. Daws, B. Horváth, "Ring-theoretic (in)finiteness in reduced products of Banach algebras", submitted, available on the arXiv.