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In both cases $\operatorname{Ker}(\psi)=\mathscr{E}(X)$ thus $\mathscr{B}(X) / \mathscr{E}(X) \cong \mathscr{B}(Y)$. Note that LHS is simple because $\mathscr{E}(X)$ is maximal, but RHS is not simple as $Y$ is infinite-dimensional. A contradiction.
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have the SHAI property.
Recall that

$$
\ell_{\infty}^{c}(\lambda):=\left\{x \in \ell_{\infty}(\lambda): \operatorname{supp}(x) \text { is countable }\right\} .
$$

Note that $\ell_{\infty}^{c}(\lambda)$ is a sub-C*-algebra of the commutative $C^{*}$-algebra $\ell_{\infty}(\lambda)$. Moreover $\ell_{\infty}^{c}(\lambda)$ is a $C(K)$-space, as observed by Johnson \& Kania \& Schechtman.

The method of large kernels II.
Let $X$ and $W$ be Banach spaces. Define

$$
\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{W}(X):=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\{S T: T \in \mathscr{B}(X, W), S \in \mathscr{B}(W, X)\} .
$$

The method of large kernels II.
Let $X$ and $W$ be Banach spaces. Define

$$
\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{W}(X):=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\{S T: T \in \mathscr{B}(X, W), S \in \mathscr{B}(W, X)\} .
$$

Then $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{W}(X) \unlhd \mathscr{B}(X)$, and it is called the ideal of operators that approximately factor through $W$.

The method of large kernels II.
Let $X$ and $W$ be Banach spaces. Define

$$
\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{W}(X):=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\{S T: T \in \mathscr{B}(X, W), S \in \mathscr{B}(W, X)\} .
$$

Then $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{W}(X) \unlhd \mathscr{B}(X)$, and it is called the ideal of operators that approximately factor through $W$.
If $X$ has a complemented subspace isomorphic to $W$, and
$P \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ is an idempotent with $\operatorname{Ran}(P) \cong W$ then $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{W}(X)$ coincides with $\overline{\langle P\rangle}$, the closed, two-sided ideal generated by $P$.

## The method of large kernels II.

Let $X$ and $W$ be Banach spaces. Define

$$
\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{W}(X):=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\{S T: T \in \mathscr{B}(X, W), S \in \mathscr{B}(W, X)\} .
$$

Then $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{W}(X) \unlhd \mathscr{B}(X)$, and it is called the ideal of operators that approximately factor through $W$.
If $X$ has a complemented subspace isomorphic to $W$, and
$P \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ is an idempotent with $\operatorname{Ran}(P) \cong W$ then $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{w}(X)$ coincides with $\overline{\langle P\rangle}$, the closed, two-sided ideal generated by $P$.

## Proposition (H.-Kania, Dichotomy Result II.)

Let $X$ be a Banach space and suppose that $W$ is a complemented subspace of $X$ such that $W$ has the SHAI property.

## The method of large kernels II.

Let $X$ and $W$ be Banach spaces. Define

$$
\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{W}(X):=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\{S T: T \in \mathscr{B}(X, W), S \in \mathscr{B}(W, X)\} .
$$

Then $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{W}(X) \unlhd \mathscr{B}(X)$, and it is called the ideal of operators that approximately factor through $W$.
If $X$ has a complemented subspace isomorphic to $W$, and
$P \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ is an idempotent with $\operatorname{Ran}(P) \cong W$ then $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{w}(X)$ coincides with $\overline{\langle P\rangle}$, the closed, two-sided ideal generated by $P$.

## Proposition (H.-Kania, Dichotomy Result II.)

Let $X$ be a Banach space and suppose that $W$ is a complemented subspace of $X$ such that $W$ has the SHAI property. Let $Y$ be a Banach space and let $\psi: \mathscr{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathscr{B}(Y)$ be a surjective algebra homomorphism.

## The method of large kernels II.

Let $X$ and $W$ be Banach spaces. Define

$$
\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{W}(X):=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\{S T: T \in \mathscr{B}(X, W), S \in \mathscr{B}(W, X)\} .
$$

Then $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{W}(X) \unlhd \mathscr{B}(X)$, and it is called the ideal of operators that approximately factor through $W$.
If $X$ has a complemented subspace isomorphic to $W$, and
$P \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ is an idempotent with $\operatorname{Ran}(P) \cong W$ then $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{w}(X)$ coincides with $\overline{\langle P\rangle}$, the closed, two-sided ideal generated by $P$.

## Proposition (H.-Kania, Dichotomy Result II.)

Let $X$ be a Banach space and suppose that $W$ is a complemented subspace of $X$ such that $W$ has the SHAI property. Let $Y$ be a Banach space and let $\psi: \mathscr{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathscr{B}(Y)$ be a surjective algebra homomorphism. Then either

- $\psi$ is injective; or


## The method of large kernels II.

Let $X$ and $W$ be Banach spaces. Define

$$
\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{W}(X):=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\{S T: T \in \mathscr{B}(X, W), S \in \mathscr{B}(W, X)\} .
$$

Then $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{W}(X) \unlhd \mathscr{B}(X)$, and it is called the ideal of operators that approximately factor through $W$.
If $X$ has a complemented subspace isomorphic to $W$, and
$P \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ is an idempotent with $\operatorname{Ran}(P) \cong W$ then $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{w}(X)$ coincides with $\overline{\langle P\rangle}$, the closed, two-sided ideal generated by $P$.

## Proposition (H.-Kania, Dichotomy Result II.)

Let $X$ be a Banach space and suppose that $W$ is a complemented subspace of $X$ such that $W$ has the SHAI property. Let $Y$ be a Banach space and let $\psi: \mathscr{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathscr{B}(Y)$ be a surjective algebra homomorphism. Then either

- $\psi$ is injective; or
- $\bar{G}_{W}(X) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$.


## Sketch proof of Dichotomy Result II. <br> Let $P \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ be an idempotent with $W=\operatorname{Ran}(P)$.

## Sketch proof of Dichotomy Result II.

Let $P \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ be an idempotent with $W=\operatorname{Ran}(P)$.
Suppose $\psi$ is not injective. To show the claim it is enough to see that $P \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$.

## Sketch proof of Dichotomy Result II.

Let $P \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ be an idempotent with $W=\operatorname{Ran}(P)$.
Suppose $\psi$ is not injective. To show the claim it is enough to see that $P \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Indeed; if this holds then $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{W}(X)=\overline{\langle P\rangle} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$ by definition, as $\operatorname{Ker}(\psi) \unlhd \mathscr{B}(X)$.

## Sketch proof of Dichotomy Result II.

Let $P \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ be an idempotent with $W=\operatorname{Ran}(P)$.
Suppose $\psi$ is not injective. To show the claim it is enough to see that $P \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Indeed; if this holds then $\bar{G}_{W}(X)=\overline{\langle P\rangle} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$ by definition, as $\operatorname{Ker}(\psi) \unlhd \mathscr{B}(X)$.
Assume in search of a contradiction that $P \notin \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Then $Z:=\operatorname{Ran}(\psi(P))$ is a non-zero, closed (complemented) subspace of $Y$.

## Sketch proof of Dichotomy Result II.

Let $P \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ be an idempotent with $W=\operatorname{Ran}(P)$.
Suppose $\psi$ is not injective. To show the claim it is enough to see that $P \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Indeed; if this holds then $\bar{G}_{W}(X)=\overline{\langle P\rangle} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$ by definition, as $\operatorname{Ker}(\psi) \unlhd \mathscr{B}(X)$.
Assume in search of a contradiction that $P \notin \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Then $Z:=\operatorname{Ran}(\psi(P))$ is a non-zero, closed (complemented) subspace of $Y$. The map

$$
\theta: \mathscr{B}(W) \rightarrow \mathscr{B}(Z) ;\left.\quad T \mapsto \psi\left(\left.\left.P\right|_{W} \circ T \circ P\right|^{W}\right)\right|_{Z} ^{Z}
$$

is well-defined.

## Sketch proof of Dichotomy Result II.

Let $P \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ be an idempotent with $W=\operatorname{Ran}(P)$.
Suppose $\psi$ is not injective. To show the claim it is enough to see that $P \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Indeed; if this holds then $\bar{G}_{W}(X)=\overline{\langle P\rangle} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$ by definition, as $\operatorname{Ker}(\psi) \unlhd \mathscr{B}(X)$.
Assume in search of a contradiction that $P \notin \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Then $Z:=\operatorname{Ran}(\psi(P))$ is a non-zero, closed (complemented) subspace of $Y$. The map

$$
\theta: \mathscr{B}(W) \rightarrow \mathscr{B}(Z) ;\left.\quad T \mapsto \psi\left(\left.\left.P\right|_{W} \circ T \circ P\right|^{W}\right)\right|_{Z} ^{Z}
$$

is well-defined. It is also an algebra homomorphism.

## Sketch proof of Dichotomy Result II.

Let $P \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ be an idempotent with $W=\operatorname{Ran}(P)$.
Suppose $\psi$ is not injective. To show the claim it is enough to see that $P \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Indeed; if this holds then
$\bar{G}_{W}(X)=\overline{\langle P\rangle} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$ by definition, as $\operatorname{Ker}(\psi) \unlhd \mathscr{B}(X)$.
Assume in search of a contradiction that $P \notin \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Then $Z:=\operatorname{Ran}(\psi(P))$ is a non-zero, closed (complemented) subspace of
$Y$. The map

$$
\theta: \mathscr{B}(W) \rightarrow \mathscr{B}(Z) ;\left.\quad T \mapsto \psi\left(\left.\left.P\right|_{W} \circ T \circ P\right|^{W}\right)\right|_{Z} ^{Z}
$$

is well-defined. It is also an algebra homomorphism.
Bit less obvious: $\theta$ is surjective.

## Sketch proof of Dichotomy Result II.

Let $P \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ be an idempotent with $W=\operatorname{Ran}(P)$.
Suppose $\psi$ is not injective. To show the claim it is enough to see that $P \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Indeed; if this holds then
$\bar{G}_{W}(X)=\overline{\langle P\rangle} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$ by definition, as $\operatorname{Ker}(\psi) \unlhd \mathscr{B}(X)$.
Assume in search of a contradiction that $P \notin \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Then $Z:=\operatorname{Ran}(\psi(P))$ is a non-zero, closed (complemented) subspace of $Y$. The map

$$
\theta: \mathscr{B}(W) \rightarrow \mathscr{B}(Z) ;\left.\quad T \mapsto \psi\left(\left.\left.P\right|_{W} \circ T \circ P\right|^{W}\right)\right|_{Z} ^{Z}
$$

is well-defined. It is also an algebra homomorphism.
Bit less obvious: $\theta$ is surjective. Since $Z$ is non-zero, from the SHAI property of $W$ it follows that $\theta$ is injective.

Sketch proof of Dichotomy Result II con't.
Now let $A \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ be such that $A \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$.

Sketch proof of Dichotomy Result II con't.
Now let $A \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ be such that $A \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Then

$$
\theta\left(\left.P\right|^{W} \circ A \circ P \mid W\right)=
$$

## Sketch proof of Dichotomy Result II con't.

Now let $A \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ be such that $A \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Then

$$
\theta\left(\left.\left.P\right|^{W} \circ A \circ P\right|_{W}\right)=\left.\psi\left(\left.\left.\left.\left.P\right|_{W} \circ P\right|^{W} \circ A \circ P\right|_{W} \circ P\right|^{W}\right)\right|_{Z} ^{Z}
$$

## Sketch proof of Dichotomy Result II con't.

Now let $A \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ be such that $A \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta\left(\left.\left.P\right|^{W} \circ A \circ P\right|_{W}\right) & =\left.\psi\left(\left.\left.\left.\left.P\right|_{W} \circ P\right|^{W} \circ A \circ P\right|_{W} \circ P\right|^{W}\right)\right|_{Z} ^{Z} \\
& =\left.\psi(P \circ A \circ P)\right|_{Z} ^{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Sketch proof of Dichotomy Result II con't.

Now let $A \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ be such that $A \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta\left(\left.P\right|^{W} \circ A \circ P \mid w\right) & =\left.\psi\left(\left.\left.\left.\left.P\right|_{w} \circ P\right|^{W} \circ A \circ P\right|_{W} \circ P\right|^{W}\right)\right|_{Z} ^{Z} \\
& =\left.\psi(P \circ A \circ P)\right|_{Z} ^{Z} \\
& =\left.(\psi(P) \circ \psi(A) \circ \psi(P))\right|_{Z} ^{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Sketch proof of Dichotomy Result II con't.

Now let $A \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ be such that $A \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta\left(\left.\left.P\right|^{W} \circ A \circ P\right|_{W}\right) & =\left.\psi\left(\left.\left.\left.\left.P\right|_{W} \circ P\right|^{W} \circ A \circ P\right|_{W} \circ P\right|^{W}\right)\right|_{Z} ^{Z} \\
& =\left.\psi(P \circ A \circ P)\right|_{Z} ^{Z} \\
& =\left.(\psi(P) \circ \psi(A) \circ \psi(P))\right|_{Z} ^{Z} \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Sketch proof of Dichotomy Result II con't.

Now let $A \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ be such that $A \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta\left(\left.\left.P\right|^{W} \circ A \circ P\right|_{W}\right) & =\left.\psi\left(\left.\left.\left.\left.P\right|_{W} \circ P\right|^{W} \circ A \circ P\right|_{W} \circ P\right|^{W}\right)\right|_{Z} ^{Z} \\
& =\left.\psi(P \circ A \circ P)\right|_{Z} ^{Z} \\
& =\left.(\psi(P) \circ \psi(A) \circ \psi(P))\right|_{Z} ^{Z} \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\theta$ is injective it follows that $\left.\left.P\right|^{W} A P\right|_{W}=0$ or equivalently $P A P=0$.

## Sketch proof of Dichotomy Result II con't.

Now let $A \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ be such that $A \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta\left(\left.\left.P\right|^{W} \circ A \circ P\right|_{W}\right) & =\left.\psi\left(\left.\left.\left.\left.P\right|_{W} \circ P\right|^{W} \circ A \circ P\right|_{W} \circ P\right|^{W}\right)\right|_{Z} ^{Z} \\
& =\left.\psi(P \circ A \circ P)\right|_{Z} ^{Z} \\
& =\left.(\psi(P) \circ \psi(A) \circ \psi(P))\right|_{Z} ^{Z} \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\theta$ is injective it follows that $\left.\left.P\right|^{W} A P\right|_{w}=0$ or equivalently $P A P=0$.

We apply this in the following specific situation: We choose $x \in W=\operatorname{Ran}(P) \subseteq X$ and $\xi \in X^{*}$ norm one vectors with $\langle x, \xi\rangle=1$.

## Sketch proof of Dichotomy Result II con't.

Now let $A \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ be such that $A \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta\left(\left.\left.P\right|^{W} \circ A \circ P\right|_{W}\right) & =\left.\psi\left(\left.\left.\left.\left.P\right|_{W} \circ P\right|^{W} \circ A \circ P\right|_{W} \circ P\right|^{W}\right)\right|_{Z} ^{Z} \\
& =\left.\psi(P \circ A \circ P)\right|_{Z} ^{Z} \\
& =\left.(\psi(P) \circ \psi(A) \circ \psi(P))\right|_{Z} ^{Z} \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\theta$ is injective it follows that $\left.\left.P\right|^{W} A P\right|_{W}=0$ or equivalently $P A P=0$.

We apply this in the following specific situation: We choose $x \in W=\operatorname{Ran}(P) \subseteq X$ and $\xi \in X^{*}$ norm one vectors with $\langle x, \xi\rangle=1$. As $\psi$ is not injective, in particular we have $x \otimes \xi \in \mathscr{F}(X) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$, consequently $P(x \otimes \xi) P=0$.

## Sketch proof of Dichotomy Result II con't.

Now let $A \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ be such that $A \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta\left(\left.\left.P\right|^{W} \circ A \circ P\right|_{W}\right) & =\left.\psi\left(\left.\left.\left.\left.P\right|_{W} \circ P\right|^{W} \circ A \circ P\right|_{W} \circ P\right|^{W}\right)\right|_{Z} ^{Z} \\
& =\left.\psi(P \circ A \circ P)\right|_{Z} ^{Z} \\
& =\left.(\psi(P) \circ \psi(A) \circ \psi(P))\right|_{Z} ^{Z} \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\theta$ is injective it follows that $\left.\left.P\right|^{W} A P\right|_{W}=0$ or equivalently $P A P=0$.
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## Proof.

Main ingredient:

## Theorem (Laustsen-Loy-Read, Laustsen-Schlumprecht-Zsák)
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Since $\operatorname{Ker}(\psi) \unlhd \mathscr{B}\left(E_{\lambda}\right)$ is proper and $\mathscr{S}_{E_{\lambda}}\left(E_{\lambda}\right)$ is maximal by Theorem, we must have $\mathscr{S}_{E_{\lambda}}\left(E_{\lambda}\right)=\operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$.
(1) If $\lambda=\omega$ then $E_{\lambda}=c_{0}$ or $E_{\lambda}=\ell_{p}$, where $p \in[1, \infty]$. Then Dichotomy Result I yields

$$
\mathscr{S}_{E_{\lambda}}\left(E_{\lambda}\right)=\mathscr{E}\left(E_{\lambda}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) .
$$
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$$
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## Intermezzo: Fun times around Zakopane
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- SHAI is not a three-space property [H. - Kania].
- There exists an uncountable AD family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq[\mathbb{N}]^{\omega}$ and an Isbell-Mrówka space $K_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $\mathscr{B}\left(C_{0}\left(K_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ has a character [Koszmider-Laustsen, 2020+];
- $C_{0}\left(K_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ is a twisted sum of $c_{0}$ and $c_{0}(\mathfrak{c})$ [follows from the construction of Koszmider \& Laustsen];
- Both $c_{0}$ and $c_{0}(\mathfrak{c})$ have SHAI but $C_{0}\left(K_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ does not.
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## Theorem (H.)

Let $Y$ be a separable, reflexive Banach space. Let
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X_{Y}:=\left\{f \in C\left(\left[0, \omega_{1}\right] ; Y\right): f\left(\omega_{1}\right)=0_{Y}\right\} .
$$

There exists a surjective, non-injective algebra homomorphism
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\psi: \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{B}(Y) .
$$
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(T f)(\alpha)=\varphi(T) f(\alpha) \quad\left(\alpha \in D, f \in C_{0}\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)\right)
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Moreover, $\varphi: \mathscr{B}\left(C_{0}\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} ; T \mapsto \varphi(T)$ is a character.
Note that the club subset in the statement is never unique.
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of the previous theorem is termed the Alspach-Benyamini character.

- The kernel of $\varphi$ is the Loy-Willis ideal, denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{L W}$ :

$$
\mathcal{M}_{L W}:=\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)
$$

- Partial structure of the lattice of closed two-sided ideals of $\mathscr{B}\left(C_{0}\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)\right)$ is given in [Kania-Laustsen, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 2015], in particular

$$
\mathscr{E}\left(C_{0}\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)\right)=\mathscr{K}\left(C_{0}\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)\right) \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_{L W}
$$
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$$
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## Some remarks (con't.)

(1)

- $C\left[0, \omega_{1}\right] \hat{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} Y \xlongequal[\cong]{\cong} C\left(\left[0, \omega_{1}\right] ; Y\right)$, so we can may identify elements of the form $f \otimes x$ with $f(\cdot) x$.
- Recall $X_{Y}:=\left\{f \in C\left(\left[0, \omega_{1}\right] ; Y\right): f\left(\omega_{1}\right)=0_{Y}\right\}$. Fix $\mu, \xi \in X_{\gamma}^{*}$, then
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[\mu=\xi] \Longleftrightarrow\left[\langle f \otimes x, \mu\rangle=\langle f \otimes x, \xi\rangle \quad\left(x \in Y, f \in C_{0}\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)\right)\right] .
$$

- From the above and the Hahn-Banach Separation Theorem it follows that
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X_{Y} \stackrel{(1)}{\cong} C_{0}\left[0, \omega_{1}\right) \hat{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} Y
$$
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- By a result of Rudin we have
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C\left[0, \omega_{1}\right]^{*} \stackrel{(1)}{=} \ell_{1}\left(\omega_{1}^{+}\right):=\left\{g:\left[0, \omega_{1}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}: \sum_{\alpha<\omega_{1}^{+}}|g(\alpha)|<\infty\right\},
$$

given by the duality $\left\langle f, \delta_{\alpha}\right\rangle=f(\alpha)=\delta_{\alpha}(f)$.
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(1)
- $C\left[0, \omega_{1}\right]^{*} \stackrel{(1)}{\cong} \ell_{1}\left(\omega_{1}^{+}\right)$has the Radon-Nikodým Property.
- Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
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& \stackrel{(1)}{\cong} \ell_{1}\left(\omega_{1}^{+}\right) \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y^{*} \stackrel{(1)}{\cong} \ell_{1}\left(\omega_{1}^{+} ; Y^{*}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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for all $\left.\alpha \in D_{x, \psi}^{S}, f \in C_{0}\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)\right)$.

Thus we can define the map

$$
\Theta: \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{B}(Y) ; \quad S \mapsto \Theta_{S}
$$

Since $Y$ is separable and reflexive it follows that $Y^{*}$ is separable too.
Let $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq Y$ and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq Y^{*}$ be countable dense subsets. Let us fix $S \in \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right), x \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{R}$. As above, there exists a club subset $D_{x, \psi}^{S} \subseteq\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$ such that
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\end{aligned}
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for all $\left.\alpha \in D_{x, \psi}^{S}, f \in C_{0}\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)\right)$.

Thus we can define the map
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\Theta: \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{B}(Y) ; \quad S \mapsto \Theta_{S}
$$

Since $Y$ is separable and reflexive it follows that $Y^{*}$ is separable too.
Let $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq Y$ and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq Y^{*}$ be countable dense subsets. Let us fix $S \in \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right), x \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{R}$. As above, there exists a club subset $D_{x, \psi}^{S} \subseteq\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\left(S_{x}^{\psi} f\right)(\alpha)=\varphi\left(S_{x}^{\psi}\right) f(\alpha) \quad\left(\alpha \in D_{x, \psi}^{S}, f \in C_{0}\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)\right)
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for all $\left.\alpha \in D_{x, \psi}^{S}, f \in C_{0}\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)\right)$.

Thus we can define the map

$$
\Theta: \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{B}(Y) ; \quad S \mapsto \Theta_{S}
$$

Since $Y$ is separable and reflexive it follows that $Y^{*}$ is separable too.
Let $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq Y$ and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq Y^{*}$ be countable dense subsets. Let us fix $S \in \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right), x \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{R}$. As above, there exists a club subset $D_{x, \psi}^{S} \subseteq\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\left(S_{x}^{\psi} f\right)(\alpha)=\varphi\left(S_{x}^{\psi}\right) f(\alpha) \quad\left(\alpha \in D_{x, \psi}^{S}, f \in C_{0}\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)\right)
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Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle S(f \otimes x), \delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right\rangle & =\langle(S(f \otimes x))(\alpha), \psi\rangle=\left(S_{x}^{\psi} f\right)(\alpha) \\
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for all $\left.\alpha \in D_{x, \psi}^{S}, f \in C_{0}\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)\right)$.

## Proof of the Theorem (con't.)

As a countable intersection of club subsets is a club subset, we have that

$$
D^{S}:=\bigcap_{(x, \psi) \in \mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{R}} D_{x, \psi}^{S}
$$

is a club subset of $\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$.

As a countable intersection of club subsets is a club subset, we have that

$$
D^{S}:=\bigcap_{(x, \psi) \in \mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{R}} D_{x, \psi}^{S}
$$

is a club subset of $\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$. Consequently

$$
\left\langle S(f \otimes x), \delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right\rangle=\left\langle f \otimes(\Theta(S) x), \delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right\rangle
$$

holds for any $\alpha \in D^{S}$, any $f \in C_{0}\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$ and any $x \in \mathcal{Q}, \psi \in \mathcal{R}$.
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is a club subset of $\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$. Consequently
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\left\langle S(f \otimes x), \delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right\rangle=\left\langle f \otimes(\Theta(S) x), \delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right\rangle
$$

holds for any $\alpha \in D^{S}$, any $f \in C_{0}\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$ and any $x \in \mathcal{Q}, \psi \in \mathcal{R}$.
Fix $S \in \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right), \alpha \in D^{S}$ and $f \in C_{0}\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$. Define the maps

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
g_{(S, f, \alpha)}: Y \times Y^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} ; & (x, \psi) \mapsto\left\langle S(f \otimes x), \delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right\rangle \\
h_{(S, f, \alpha)}: Y \times Y^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} ; & (x, \psi) \mapsto\left\langle f \otimes(\Theta(S) x), \delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right\rangle .
\end{array}
$$

As a countable intersection of club subsets is a club subset, we have that
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$$

is a club subset of $\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$. Consequently

$$
\left\langle S(f \otimes x), \delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right\rangle=\left\langle f \otimes(\Theta(S) x), \delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right\rangle
$$

holds for any $\alpha \in D^{S}$, any $f \in C_{0}\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$ and any $x \in \mathcal{Q}, \psi \in \mathcal{R}$.
Fix $S \in \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right), \alpha \in D^{S}$ and $f \in C_{0}\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$. Define the maps

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
g_{(S, f, \alpha)}: Y \times Y^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} ; & (x, \psi) \mapsto\left\langle S(f \otimes x), \delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right\rangle \\
h_{(S, f, \alpha)}: Y \times Y^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} ; & (x, \psi) \mapsto\left\langle f \otimes(\Theta(S) x), \delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right\rangle .
\end{array}
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Thus we can reformulate the above equation as

$$
g_{(S, f, \alpha)}(x, \psi)=h_{(S, f, \alpha)}(x, \psi) \quad((x, \psi) \in \mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{R})
$$

## Proof of the Theorem (con't.)

As $g_{(S, f, \alpha)}$ and $h_{(S, f, \alpha)}$ are continuous functions between metric spaces, density of $\mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{R}$ in $Y \times Y^{*}$ implies that

$$
g_{(S, f, \alpha)}(x, \psi)=h_{(S, f, \alpha)}(x, \psi) \quad\left((x, \psi) \in Y \times Y^{*}\right)
$$

As $g_{(S, f, \alpha)}$ and $h_{(S, f, \alpha)}$ are continuous functions between metric spaces, density of $\mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{R}$ in $Y \times Y^{*}$ implies that

$$
g_{(S, f, \alpha)}(x, \psi)=h_{(S, f, \alpha)}(x, \psi) \quad\left((x, \psi) \in Y \times Y^{*}\right)
$$

In other words, for any $S \in \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right)$ there exists a club subset $D^{S} \subseteq\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\langle f \otimes x, S^{*}\left(\delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle f \otimes x, \delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta(S)^{*} \psi\right)\right\rangle
$$

for any $\alpha \in D^{S}, f \in C_{0}\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$ and $x \in Y, \psi \in Y^{*}$.

As $g_{(S, f, \alpha)}$ and $h_{(S, f, \alpha)}$ are continuous functions between metric spaces, density of $\mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{R}$ in $Y \times Y^{*}$ implies that

$$
g_{(S, f, \alpha)}(x, \psi)=h_{(S, f, \alpha)}(x, \psi) \quad\left((x, \psi) \in Y \times Y^{*}\right)
$$

In other words, for any $S \in \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right)$ there exists a club subset $D^{S} \subseteq\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\langle f \otimes x, S^{*}\left(\delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle f \otimes x, \delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta(S)^{*} \psi\right)\right\rangle
$$

for any $\alpha \in D^{S}, f \in C_{0}\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$ and $x \in Y, \psi \in Y^{*}$.
Therefore we obtain that
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\begin{equation*}
S^{*}\left(\delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right)=\delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta(S)^{*} \psi\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\alpha \in D^{S}$ and $\psi \in Y^{*}$.

## Proof of the Theorem (con't.)

We show that for any $S \in \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right)$ the operator $\Theta(S)$ is determined by equation (1).

We show that for any $S \in \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right)$ the operator $\Theta(S)$ is determined by equation (1). Indeed, suppose $\Theta_{1}(S), \Theta_{2}(S) \in \mathscr{B}(Y)$ are such that there exist club subsets $D_{1}^{S}, D_{2}^{S} \subseteq\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$ with the property that

$$
S^{*}\left(\delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right)=\delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta_{i}(S)^{*} \psi\right)
$$

for $i \in\{1,2\}$, all $\alpha \in D_{i}^{S}$ and all $\psi \in Y^{*}$.

We show that for any $S \in \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right)$ the operator $\Theta(S)$ is determined by equation (1). Indeed, suppose $\Theta_{1}(S), \Theta_{2}(S) \in \mathscr{B}(Y)$ are such that there exist club subsets $D_{1}^{S}, D_{2}^{S} \subseteq\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$ with the property that

$$
S^{*}\left(\delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right)=\delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta_{i}(S)^{*} \psi\right)
$$

for $i \in\{1,2\}$, all $\alpha \in D_{i}^{S}$ and all $\psi \in Y^{*}$. Let $\alpha \in D_{1}^{S} \cap D_{2}^{S}$, $x \in Y$ and $\psi \in Y^{*}$ be fixed. Then

$$
\left\langle\Theta_{1}(S) x, \psi\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{1}_{[0, \alpha]} \otimes x, \delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta_{1}(S)^{*} \psi\right)\right\rangle
$$

We show that for any $S \in \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right)$ the operator $\Theta(S)$ is determined by equation (1). Indeed, suppose $\Theta_{1}(S), \Theta_{2}(S) \in \mathscr{B}(Y)$ are such that there exist club subsets $D_{1}^{S}, D_{2}^{S} \subseteq\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$ with the property that

$$
S^{*}\left(\delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right)=\delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta_{i}(S)^{*} \psi\right)
$$

for $i \in\{1,2\}$, all $\alpha \in D_{i}^{S}$ and all $\psi \in Y^{*}$. Let $\alpha \in D_{1}^{S} \cap D_{2}^{S}$, $x \in Y$ and $\psi \in Y^{*}$ be fixed. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\Theta_{1}(S) x, \psi\right\rangle & =\left\langle\mathbf{1}_{[0, \alpha]} \otimes x, \delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta_{1}(S)^{*} \psi\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathbf{1}_{[0, \alpha]} \otimes x, S^{*}\left(\delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

We show that for any $S \in \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right)$ the operator $\Theta(S)$ is determined by equation (1). Indeed, suppose $\Theta_{1}(S), \Theta_{2}(S) \in \mathscr{B}(Y)$ are such that there exist club subsets $D_{1}^{S}, D_{2}^{S} \subseteq\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$ with the property that

$$
S^{*}\left(\delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right)=\delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta_{i}(S)^{*} \psi\right)
$$

for $i \in\{1,2\}$, all $\alpha \in D_{i}^{S}$ and all $\psi \in Y^{*}$. Let $\alpha \in D_{1}^{S} \cap D_{2}^{S}$, $x \in Y$ and $\psi \in Y^{*}$ be fixed. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\Theta_{1}(S) x, \psi\right\rangle & =\left\langle\mathbf{1}_{[0, \alpha]} \otimes x, \delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta_{1}(S)^{*} \psi\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathbf{1}_{[0, \alpha]} \otimes x, S^{*}\left(\delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathbf{1}_{[0, \alpha]} \otimes x, \delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta_{2}(S)^{*} \psi\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

We show that for any $S \in \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right)$ the operator $\Theta(S)$ is determined by equation (1). Indeed, suppose $\Theta_{1}(S), \Theta_{2}(S) \in \mathscr{B}(Y)$ are such that there exist club subsets $D_{1}^{S}, D_{2}^{S} \subseteq\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$ with the property that

$$
S^{*}\left(\delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right)=\delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta_{i}(S)^{*} \psi\right)
$$

for $i \in\{1,2\}$, all $\alpha \in D_{i}^{S}$ and all $\psi \in Y^{*}$. Let $\alpha \in D_{1}^{S} \cap D_{2}^{S}$, $x \in Y$ and $\psi \in Y^{*}$ be fixed. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\Theta_{1}(S) x, \psi\right\rangle & =\left\langle\mathbf{1}_{[0, \alpha]} \otimes x, \delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta_{1}(S)^{*} \psi\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathbf{1}_{[0, \alpha]} \otimes x, S^{*}\left(\delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathbf{1}_{[0, \alpha]} \otimes x, \delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta_{2}(S)^{*} \psi\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\Theta_{2}(S) x, \psi\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof of the Theorem (con't.)

We show that for any $S \in \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right)$ the operator $\Theta(S)$ is determined by equation (1). Indeed, suppose $\Theta_{1}(S), \Theta_{2}(S) \in \mathscr{B}(Y)$ are such that there exist club subsets $D_{1}^{S}, D_{2}^{S} \subseteq\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$ with the property that

$$
S^{*}\left(\delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right)=\delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta_{i}(S)^{*} \psi\right)
$$

for $i \in\{1,2\}$, all $\alpha \in D_{i}^{S}$ and all $\psi \in Y^{*}$. Let $\alpha \in D_{1}^{S} \cap D_{2}^{S}$, $x \in Y$ and $\psi \in Y^{*}$ be fixed. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\Theta_{1}(S) x, \psi\right\rangle & =\left\langle\mathbf{1}_{[0, \alpha]} \otimes x, \delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta_{1}(S)^{*} \psi\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathbf{1}_{[0, \alpha]} \otimes x, S^{*}\left(\delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathbf{1}_{[0, \alpha]} \otimes x, \delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta_{2}(S)^{*} \psi\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\Theta_{2}(S) x, \psi\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus $\Theta_{1}(S)=\Theta_{2}(S)$.
We are now prepared to prove that $\Theta$ is an algebra homomorphism.
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We show that $\Theta$ is multiplicative. Let $S, T \in \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right)$ be fixed.
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hence $\Theta(T S)^{*} \psi=(\Theta(T) \Theta(S))^{*} \psi$, so $\Theta(T S)^{*}=(\Theta(T) \Theta(S))^{*}$, equivalently $\Theta(T S)=\Theta(T) \Theta(S)$.
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We show that $\Theta$ is multiplicative. Let $S, T \in \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right)$ be fixed. Let $D^{T}, D^{S}, D^{T S} \subseteq\left[0, \omega_{1}\right.$ ) be club subsets which satisfy equation (1). Fix $\alpha \in D^{T} \cap D^{S} \cap D^{T S}, x \in Y$ and $\psi \in Y^{*}$. Then we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta(T S)^{*} \psi\right) & =(T S)^{*}\left(\delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right) \\
& =S^{*} T^{*}\left(\delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right) \\
& =S^{*}\left(\delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta(T)^{*} \psi\right)\right) \\
& =\delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta(S)^{*} \Theta(T)^{*} \psi\right) \\
& =\delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left((\Theta(T) \Theta(S))^{*} \psi\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $\Theta(T S)^{*} \psi=(\Theta(T) \Theta(S))^{*} \psi$, so $\Theta(T S)^{*}=(\Theta(T) \Theta(S))^{*}$, equivalently $\Theta(T S)=\Theta(T) \Theta(S)$.

Linearity can be shown with analogous reasoning.
For any $S \in \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right)$ we have $\|\Theta(S)\|=\left\|\tilde{\Theta}_{S}\right\| \leq\|S\|$, thus $\|\Theta\| \leq 1$.

## Proof of the Theorem (con't.)

We now show that $\Theta$ is surjective. We show more: There exists a norm one algebra homomorphism

$$
\Lambda: \mathscr{B}(Y) \rightarrow \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad \Theta \circ \Lambda=\operatorname{id}_{\mathscr{B}(Y)} .
$$

We now show that $\Theta$ is surjective. We show more: There exists a norm one algebra homomorphism

$$
\Lambda: \mathscr{B}(Y) \rightarrow \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad \Theta \circ \Lambda=\operatorname{id}_{\mathscr{B}(Y)} .
$$

Let $P \in \mathscr{B}\left(C\left[0, \omega_{1}\right]\right)$ be the idempotent operator with

$$
P: C\left[0, \omega_{1}\right] \rightarrow C\left[0, \omega_{1}\right] ; \quad g \mapsto g-c_{g\left(\omega_{1}\right)}
$$

We now show that $\Theta$ is surjective. We show more: There exists a norm one algebra homomorphism

$$
\Lambda: \mathscr{B}(Y) \rightarrow \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad \Theta \circ \Lambda=\operatorname{id}_{\mathscr{B}(Y)}
$$
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Thus by linearity and continuity of $P \otimes_{\varepsilon} A$ in fact

$$
\left(\left(P \otimes_{\varepsilon} A\right) u\right)\left(\omega_{1}\right)=0 \quad\left(u \in C\left[0, \omega_{1}\right] \hat{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} Y\right)
$$

which shows that $S \in \mathscr{B}\left(X_{Y}\right)$. Therefore there exists a club subset $D^{S} \subseteq\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$ such that equation (1) is satisfied for all $\alpha \in D^{S}$ and all $\psi \in Y^{*}$. Fix $\alpha \in D^{S}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle A x, \psi\rangle & =\left\langle\mathbf{1}_{[0, \alpha]} \otimes(A x), \delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left(P \otimes_{\varepsilon} A\right)\left(\mathbf{1}_{[0, \alpha]} \otimes x\right), \delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathbf{1}_{[0, \alpha]} \otimes x, S^{*}\left(\delta_{\alpha} \otimes \psi\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathbf{1}_{[0, \alpha]} \otimes x, \delta_{\alpha} \otimes\left(\Theta(S)^{*} \psi\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle x, \Theta(S)^{*} \psi\right\rangle \\
& =\langle\Theta(S) x, \psi\rangle \quad\left(x \in Y, \psi \in Y^{*}\right)
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