## **Elementary analytic functions** in VTC<sup>0</sup>

Emil Jeřábek

Institute of Mathematics Czech Academy of Sciences jerabek@math.cas.cz http://math.cas.cz/~jerabek/

Complexity Theory with a Human Face June 2022, Špindlerův Mlýn

# **TC**<sup>0</sup> and *VTC*<sup>0</sup>



#### **2** Elementary analytic functions

## Theories vs. complexity classes

Correspondence of theories of bounded arithmetic T and computational complexity classes C:

- Provably total computable functions of T are C-functions
- ► T can reason using C-predicates (comprehension, induction, minimization, ...)

#### Feasible reasoning:



• Given a concept  $X \in C$ , what can we prove about X while reasoning only with concepts from C?

Formalization: what does T prove about X?

This talk:

X = elementary integer arithmetic operations  $+, \cdot, <$ 

#### $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{AC}}^0 \subseteq \boldsymbol{\mathsf{ACC}}^0 \subseteq \boldsymbol{\mathsf{TC}}^0 \subseteq \boldsymbol{\mathsf{NC}}^1 \subseteq \boldsymbol{\mathsf{L}} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\mathsf{NL}} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\mathsf{AC}}^1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \boldsymbol{\mathsf{P}}$

- $TC^{0} = dlogtime-uniform O(1)-depth n^{O(1)}-size$ unbounded fan-in circuits with threshold gates
  - = **FOM**-definable on finite structures

representing strings

(first-order logic with majority quantifiers)

 $= O(\log n)$  time, O(1) thresholds

on a threshold Turing machine

= Constable's  $\mathcal{K}:$  closure of  $+,-,\cdot,/$  under substitution and polynomially bounded  $\sum$ ,  $\prod$ 

# **TC**<sup>0</sup> and arithmetic operations

For integers given in binary:

- ▶ + and ≤ are in  $AC^0 \subseteq TC^0$
- $\blacktriangleright$  × is in **TC**<sup>0</sup> (**TC**<sup>0</sup>-complete under **AC**<sup>0</sup> reductions)

 $\mathbf{TC}^0$  can also do:

- iterated addition  $\sum_{i < n} X_i$
- integer division and iterated multiplication [BCH'86,CDL'01,HAB'02]
- the corresponding operations on  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ , ...
- approximate functions given by nice power series:

 $\blacktriangleright \ \sin X, \ \log X, \ \sqrt[k]{X}, \ \dots$ 

sorting, ...

 $\implies$  **TC**<sup>0</sup> is the right class for basic arithmetic operations

# The theory $VTC^0$

Zambella-style two-sorted bounded arithmetic

- unary (auxiliary) integers with  $0, 1, +, \cdot, \leq$
- finite sets = binary integers = binary strings
- Noteworthy axioms:
  - $\Sigma_0^B$ -comprehension ( $\Sigma_0^B$  = bounded, w/o SO q'fiers)
  - every set has a counting function
- ► Correspondence to **TC**<sup>0</sup>:
  - Provably total computable (i.e., ∃Σ<sup>B</sup><sub>0</sub>-definable) functions are exactly the TC<sup>0</sup>-functions
  - ▶ has induction, minimization, ... for **TC**<sup>0</sup>-predicates
- Basic binary integer arithmetic in VTC<sup>0</sup>:
  - can define  $+, \cdot, \leq$  on binary integers
  - proves integers form a discretely ordered ring (DOR)

# **TC**<sup>0</sup> feasible reasoning

What else can  $VTC^0$  do with basic arithmetic operations?

 [J'22] Iterated multiplication and division
 formalize a variant of the [HAB'02] algorithm
 raised as a problem in [Ats'03,NC'06]
 [J'15] Open induction in ⟨+, ⋅, <⟩ (*IOpen*)
 ≈ constant-degree polynomial root approximation
 ideas of [Man'91] ⇒ (*RSUV* translation of) Σ<sup>b</sup><sub>0</sub>-minimization in Buss's language

## **Elementary analytic functions**

#### **1** $\mathbf{TC}^0$ and $VTC^0$



2 Elementary analytic functions

# **TC**<sup>0</sup> analytic functions

Recall:  $TC^0$  can compute approximations of analytic functions whose power series have  $TC^0$ -computable coefficients

Question: Can  $VTC^0$  prove their basic properties?

There's a plethora of such functions  $\implies$  let's start small:

Elementary analytic functions (real and complex)

- exp, log
- trigonometric: sin, cos, tan, cot, sec, csc
- inverse trig.: arcsin, arccos, arctan, arccot, arcsec, arccsc
- hyperbolic: sinh, cosh, tanh, coth, sech, csch
- ▶ inverse hyp.: arsinh, arcosh, artanh, arcoth, arsech, arcsch

All definable in terms of complex exp and log

# VTC<sup>0</sup> setup

Working with rational approximations only is quite tiresome

 $\mathfrak{M} \vDash VTC^{0} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{DOR} \ \mathbf{Z}^{\mathfrak{M}} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{fraction} \ \mathsf{field} \ \mathbf{Q}^{\mathfrak{M}} \\ \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{completion} \ \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{M}} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{alg. closure} \ \mathbf{C}^{\mathfrak{M}} = \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{M}}(i)$ 

Treat the functions as  $f: \mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{M}} \to \mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{M}}$  (or on a subset)

This simplifies development, but approximations still needed:

- translate results back to the language of VTC<sup>0</sup>
- use the functions in induction arguments, ...

Further notation: unary integers embed as  $\mathbf{L}^{\mathfrak{M}} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}^{\mathfrak{M}}$  $\mathbf{C}^{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathbf{L}} = \{ z \in \mathbf{C}^{\mathfrak{M}} : \exists n \in \mathbf{L}^{\mathfrak{M}} | z | \leq n \}, \ \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{M}} \cap \mathbf{C}^{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathbf{L}}, \ldots$ 

### Main results

We can define  $\pi \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{M}}$ ,

exp: 
$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathfrak{M}} + i\mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{M}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{\neq 0}^{\mathfrak{M}},$$
  
log:  $\mathbf{C}_{\neq 0}^{\mathfrak{M}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathfrak{M}} + i(-\pi, \pi]$ 

such that

$$\blacktriangleright \exp(z_0 + z_1) = \exp z_0 \exp z_1$$

• exp log z = z

• log exp 
$$z = z$$
 for  $z \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathfrak{M}} + i(-\pi, \pi]$ 

▶ exp  $\upharpoonright \mathbf{R}_{L}^{\mathfrak{M}}$  increasing bijection  $\mathbf{R}_{L}^{\mathfrak{M}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\mathfrak{M}}$ , convex

• for small z:  $\exp z = 1 + z + O(z^2)$ ,  $\log(1 + z) = z + O(z^2)$ 

#### Construction of exp

Mostly straightforward:

- define exp:  $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathfrak{M}}(i) \to \mathbf{C}^{\mathfrak{M}}$  as  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j < n} \frac{z^j}{j!}$
- extend to C<sup>m</sup><sub>L</sub> using (local) uniform continuity
- show  $\exp(z_0 + z_1) = \exp z_0 \exp z_1$  in the usual way

But we can finish only after proving  $\exp \log z = z$ :

• 
$$\pi := \text{Im } \log(-1) \text{ satisfies } \exp(2\pi i) = 1$$

 $\implies$  exp  $2\pi i$ -periodic

 $\implies$  extend exp to  $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathfrak{M}} + i\mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{M}}$ 

► can further extend it to  $\{z \in \mathbf{C}^{\mathfrak{M}} : \exists n \in \mathbf{L}^{\mathfrak{M}} \text{ Re } z \leq n\}$ by putting exp z = 0 when  $\operatorname{Re} z < -\mathbf{L}^{\mathfrak{M}}$ 

## **Construction of** log

A lot of work:

- define log for  $|z-1| <^* 1$  using  $\lim_{n\to\infty} -\sum_{0 < j \le n} \frac{(1-z)^j}{j}$
- ▶ show log(z₀z₁) = log z₀ + log z₁ for z<sub>j</sub> close to 1 by messy calculation
- extend log to  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\mathfrak{M}}$  using  $2^n \colon \mathbf{L}^{\mathfrak{M}} \to \mathbf{Z}^{\mathfrak{M}}$
- extend log to an angular sector by combining the two
- develop  $\sqrt{z}$
- extend log to  $C_{\neq 0}^{\mathfrak{M}}$  using  $8 \log \sqrt[8]{z}$
- ▶  $\log(z_0z_1) = \log z_0 + \log z_1$  when  $\text{Re} z_j > 0$
- ►  $\log \exp(z_0 + z_1) = \log \exp z_0 + \log \exp z_1$  when  $|\text{Im } z_j| < 1$   $\implies \log \exp z = z$  when |Im z| < 1 $\implies \exp \log z = z$  using injectivity of log

# **Applications**

Define

$$z^w = \exp(w \log z), \sqrt[n]{z} = z^{1/n}$$

▶  $\prod_{j < n} z_j$  for a sequence of  $z_j \in \mathbf{Q}^{\mathfrak{M}}(i)$  coded in  $\mathfrak{M}$ 

- ▶ wlog  $z_j \in \mathbf{Z}^{\mathfrak{M}}[i] \implies$  result also in  $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathfrak{M}}[i]$
- ▶ round appx. of  $exp(\sum_{j < n} appx. of \log z_j)$
- trigonometric, inverse trigonometric, hyperbolic, inverse hyperbolic functions
- ▶ Q: Can  $VTC^0$  prove  $\pi$  is irrational?

Model-theoretic consequence:

Every countable model of VTC<sup>0</sup> is an exponential integer part of a real-closed exponential field (even though exp is not total on R<sup>m</sup>!)

## **Exponential integer parts**

 $\langle R, +, \cdot, < \rangle$  ordered field,  $D \subseteq R$  subring:

- ▶ *R* real-closed:  $R \equiv \mathbb{R}$  (odd-degree poly have roots,  $\forall x > 0 \exists \sqrt{x}$ )
- $\blacktriangleright \ \langle R, \exp \rangle \text{ exponential field if } \exp : \ \langle R, +, < \rangle \simeq \langle R_{>0}, \cdot, < \rangle$
- ▶ *D* integer part (IP): discrete,  $\forall x \in R \exists u \in D | x u | < 1$
- [Res'93] exponential IP: D<sub>>0</sub> closed under exp (exp(1) = 2, exp(n) > n)

NB:  $\exp \upharpoonright D_{>0}$  may be different from the usual  $2^n$  function Motivation:

- ▶ [Shep'64]  $\mathfrak{M} \models IOpen \iff \mathfrak{M}$  is an IP of a RCF
- What models are EIP of RCEF? Do they satisfy some nontrivial consequences of totality of exponentiation?

# Models of $VTC^0$ as EIP

 $\mathfrak{M} \vDash VTC^{0} \implies \mathsf{IP} \text{ of RCF } \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{M}}$ Catch: our exp or 2<sup>x</sup> is  $\langle \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathbf{L}}, +, < \rangle \simeq \langle \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{M}}_{>0}, \cdot, < \rangle$ Solution:

⟨Q<sup>m</sup>, Z<sup>m</sup>, L<sup>m</sup>, +, <⟩ is recursively saturated</li>
quantifier elimination for Th(Q<sup>m</sup>, Z<sup>m</sup>, L<sup>m</sup>, +, <)</li>
M countable ⇒ ⟨Q<sup>m</sup>, N<sup>m</sup>, +, <⟩ ≃ ⟨Q<sup>m</sup><sub>L</sub>, L<sup>m</sup>, +, <⟩</li>
continuous extension ⟨R<sup>m</sup>, N<sup>m</sup>, +, <⟩ ≃ ⟨R<sup>m</sup><sub>L</sub>, L<sup>m</sup>, +, <⟩</li>
compose with 2<sup>x</sup> ⇒ ⟨R<sup>m</sup>, N<sup>m</sup>, +, <⟩ ≃ ⟨R<sup>m</sup><sub>≥0</sub>, P<sup>m</sup><sub>2</sub>, ·, <⟩</li>
P<sup>m</sup><sub>2</sub> = {x ∈ N<sup>m</sup> : x is a power of 2}

#### References

- A. Atserias: Improved bounds on the Weak Pigeonhole Principle and infinitely many primes from weaker axioms, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 295 (2003), 27–39
- P. Beame, S. Cook, H. Hoover: Log depth circuits for division and related problems, SIAM J. Comp. 15 (1986), 994–1003
- A. Chiu, G. Davida, B. Litow: Division in logspace-uniform NC<sup>1</sup>, RAIRO – Theoret. Inf. Appl. 35 (2001), 259–275
- S. Cook, P. Nguyen: Logical foundations of proof complexity, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2010
- W. Hesse, E. Allender, D. M. Barrington: Uniform constant-depth threshold circuits for division and iterated multiplication, J. Comp. System Sci. 65 (2002), 695–716
- E. Jeřábek: Open induction in a bounded arithmetic for TC<sup>0</sup>, Arch. Math. Logic 54 (2015), 359–394

# References (cont'd)

- E. Jeřábek: Iterated multiplication in VTC<sup>0</sup>, Arch. Math. Logic (2022), https://doi.org/10.1007/s00153-021-00810-6
- E. Jeřábek: Elementary analytic functions in VTC<sup>0</sup>, 2022, 55pp., arXiv:2206.12164 [cs.CC]
- E. Jeřábek: Models of VTC<sup>0</sup> as exponential integer parts, ?
- S.-G. Mantzivis: Circuits in bounded arithmetic part I, Ann. Math. Artif. Intel. 6 (1991), 127–156
- P. Nguyen, S. Cook: Theories for TC<sup>0</sup> and other small complexity classes, Log. Methods Comput. Sci. 2 (2006), art. 3
- J.-P. Ressayre: Integer parts of real closed exponential fields, in: Arithmetic, proof theory, and computational complexity, Oxford Univ. Press, 1993, 278–288
- J. Shepherdson: A nonstandard model for a free variable fragment of number theory, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 12 (1964), 79–86